





3.00









Austin & Coffman 3. G.W. 8 min 31
" " John House 32.
" " Chas. L. Green 33.
" " C. B. Duan - 54
Albi & Brown " Ch. Hadzow 118

(B) 5
Blac - P. Sc - n & Burnside 59.
Brown J - " S. J. Heath 104
" " A Harlan 105
Balq D.P. - C H. Green 173
Barth J. L. " Amer. Birdwsp. 2118.

C
C

C.

Choir H.B. & Chas Hazen. Ray 5.
Chin. W. E. " J. M. Carr " 13.
Chin. Ah. " C. H. Hoen " 62
Carr. Nancy. " C. Schmitt. 114
Creelman R. S. " John Burnet 137.

D.

Granville Emmaus. " Philip Hem - 143.
" Joe Spurley 144. B
" Bob Hall 147-
" Al Jack 151 C
" Harry On Long 152 D
" W. P. Bullard 154
" Chas. Blair 157 E
" H. Inogi - 148

F

G

H

I

J

K

L

M

N

O

P

R

S

T

U

V

W

Y

Z

A

C.
Herr Harry Ban

Emilia & m. John Henn 174.

" Mr. Henry Bank - 2 - C. B. Sunn - 34.
Fisher. D. C. m. Hung Puerly. 79.
Hannig P. R. " J. W. Murray. 108
" " " Mrs. N. Bush - 113.
" " " S. S. Knobell 114
" " " J. W. Carr - 119.
Ruben & Genia. " M. Brinck 131
Riba Gelepsa. Louis Kohl. 183.

(G.)

Grady, W. S. M. Loggia Munger estate 100
Guffith D. M. C. P. Wallin 136.

(H.)

Harkup, C. B. M. C. P. Wallin 49.
Hughes H. M. " Chr. Duyer estate 104.
" History Co. " C. H. Hamm 111
" C. H. Hamm " Frank Silka 149
" Hwy. D. W. " Jas. Traumig 172
" Harris C. C. " S. M. Brink 201

E

F

G

H

I

J

K

L

M

N

O

P

R

S

T


U

V

W

Y

Z



K

Kutina Goldstein & Co - M. H. C. Huntley - 61
Kreft, Smith " G. W. Hardy - 89.
Kutina Goldstein & Co " W. J. Hammock - 140.
" " " J. Merritt 141

L

Lucas, J. W. - M. Chas. Hazell - 12
Lockwood F. & S. " C. R. Parker - 203-

I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P

R
S
T
U
V

W
Y
Z

(M.)

Mulla Gunn - M. J. C. Gunn et al. 53.
Morgan, G.B. - " G. V. Della 95
McComb, G.C. - " C. B. Duan et al. 112
Munro, George - Joe Medly - 120
Madon, Marsh. - " G. B. Marsh. 182.

(N.)

Menel. M. n. Wm Shantz - 179.

P. Cire~

J. Pfeifer - v. G.M. Kohl et al. - 50
Palmer R. D., Ch. Hadley, et al. - 153

S. Criminal -
The People - vs. Wm. Cannon Page - 1

" Ah Gun - " 2.
" Sam. Man - " 3.
" Chas. Jackson et al. " 4.
" Henry Watson - et al. " 5.
" " " " " 7.
" Henry Dullivan - 8.
" Lincoln Hancock - 9.
" John Son - 10.
" Scott Campbell Et al - 11.
" Jim Allen - 14.
" Eva Hancock - 15.
" Sue Brinner - 16.
" John Son - et al - 17.
" Chas. Slagle - 18.
" Frank Wilson 19.
" Chas. Kinn - 21.
" H.B. Butcher Et al - 22.
" Wm. Donner - 23.
" Henry Watson 24.
" William Blair - 25.
" Charly Johnson - 26.
" John McDonald 27.
" Elmer Potts 28.
" Dennis Murphy et al. 29. M

" " " " 30. N

" John B. Young et al. - 35.
" Eugene Malony - 36. O
" William Hart - 37.
" Jack Patterson 38. P
" Manana Gonzales. 39.
" William Carter 40. R
" E. M. Butcher 41.
" Wm. Slagle 42. S
" Supt. Small Et al. 43.

" W. D. Kipp - 44. T

" Lucy Lee - 45.

" Vickie Campbell - 46. U

" Pat Matthews et al. 47.

" Eddie McDonald 48. V

" Ben Ben Lee - 51. W

" Ed. Wright et al. - 52.

" James Condon - 53. Y

" Chas. Carroll 54. Z

" " " " 55.

R.

Batela Phil. n. S. C. Jem - 20
Ryan Jim - a Chor. Hadley - 61.
Bueran Wm " J.B. Piley - 84
Bolle. G. " D.W. Brooks - 122.
" " Chr. Deegar. 167.
Rein. J.M. n. C. H. Haun 210

(S)

Shenoda M. Hadley dan 82 -
Shawne D. " Burns Henry 88.
Selazar vs Feliz 181

(3),
Theater Bertha n. S. K. Griffst 134
" " W. G. Griffst 135-

R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

(Pr.)

Woollin - to Wm Johnson. 75-

Hobson J. A. " J.W. Carr et al 139

V


W


Y

Z

The People in Clifton Clark.	63.
" " Joe Evans -	64
" " Gov. Richardson -	65-
" " Frank Sheppard -	66
" " Nat Scully -	67
" " Wm. Madison -	68
" " Wm. Loyel et al -	69
" " D.W. Hump -	70
" " Jas. Harris et al -	71
" " Lewis Murphy -	72
" " Geo. Lom -	73
" " Frank Wilson -	74
" " Mrs Rodgers -	74
" " Jas. Conlon -	77
" " Frank Clark -	78
" " Jas. Collier et al -	81
" " Henry Amundson et al -	81
" " George Murray -	83
" " Geo. Williams et al -	85-
" " Andrew Brown -	88
" " J. G. Lambore -	87
" " Mad. Haly et al -	90
" " Mike Bap -	91
" " R. Dotó -	92.
" " W. D. Burns -	93.
" " Sam. Malony et al -	94
" " Jimy Lambe -	94
" " Jimmy Butchy -	97
" " C. Bigly - et al -	98
" " W. R. Cattin "	99.
" " Hape Lee -	101
" " W. B. Lambur -	102
" " E. G. Hunt -	103
" " Jack. McDugger et al -	107
" " Jas. Kohler -	109
" " C. C. Harris -	110
" " Neal Murphy -	111
" " John Webster -	123.
" " " "	124
" " Gia Anders -	125-
" " Jas. Collins -	128
" " S. McGuire -	129
" " Willy et al. Andrew,	128
" " Tom et al. "	129.
" " Jean Sherman -	130
" " Obadiah Kinney -	132.

The People in Standard Deviations	133.
" " Obad. Kinney -	138
" " John Kinney -	140
" " On Gee -	141
" " Jimmy K. King -	142
" " Frank Butterfield -	145-
" " S. S. Jacobs -	144
" " Bert Lewis -	148
" " Geo. Allison -	150
" " John Evans -	155
" " Mrs. William et al -	156
" " J. F. Danter -	158
" " Chas. Gibson -	159
" " John Hawley -	162
" " Samuel Jackson -	164
" " Chas. Heath -	165-
" " John Holcomb et al -	168
" " Mariana Gallagher -	169
" " Paul Davis -	170
" " Wm. Hale -	171.
" " George Murray et al -	174
" " Bill Wilson et al -	175-
" " Jas. Conlon -	177.
" " Ah. Shultz et al -	178
" " R. A. Baekel -	180
" " Ben Hump -	184.
" " John Brown et al -	185-
" " Robert Clark -	188
" " W. Peterson -	187
" " M. S. Statham et al -	188.
" " Ah. Yani et al -	189
" " Amelia Guti et al -	190
" " John Smith et al -	191
" " Mike Brown -	192
" " W. C. McElli -	193.
" " By. Lee -	194
" " Jimy. Bush -	195-
" " Ah. Day -	194
" " Jim Snell -	197.
" " Jim Snell -	198.
" " John Wilson et al -	200
" " Jim Anderson -	202
" " Sam Murphy et al -	203
" " John Blair -	214
" " Jack. Holden -	217.
" " Pees. Marsh -	219.





In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Sonoma, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Caron Defendant

Action No claimant
Demand \$
Attorney ... for Plaintiff
Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 12. Complainant filed warrant issued against Court - C. P. Walton
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
and waives the legal time for sentence -
Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
it is ordered and adjudged that the said officer that you
William Caron be and you are hereby fined in the sum
of One hundred and twenty dollars -
You cause Frank Johnson in open Court and assuming
the payment of said fine until the sum of \$23rd for
and instead of said William Caron three days after date
you make my hand - This April 15th 1887.

S. H. Hill J. S.

See 27. You said by Frank Johnson -

S. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,
 County of Sonoma, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>George Max Ah伦</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Battery</u>
	Defendant	Demand \$.....
		Attorney..... for Plaintiff
	Attorney..... for Defendant	

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 12 Complainant filed warrant of arraignment against defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant plead guilty and waived the legal time for sentence. Defendant fined one dollar \$1.00 Paid Court \$1.00
 Paid interpreter \$1.00 -

J. H. Tolle Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Battig</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>Sam. Man</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

April 12. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Pelham M. Bonell
 " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fine and paid \$7.50 - Paid Court - \$3.35 -
 Paid Interpreter \$1.50

S. H. Hill, J. P.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
County of Mary, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>bargaincy</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>Ch. Jackson & C. P. Wallon</u>		Attorney..... for Plaintiff
	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 18th. Complainant - James - issued & given Const - C. P. Wallon
 Defendants - in Court - and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises Defendants - plead guilty
 and name the legal time for sentence -
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that
 you and each of you be and you are committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Mary and by
 said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said
 County - for a term of fifteen days each of you -

J. H. Shill J.P.

In the Justices Court of B Township,

County of Sacramento, State of California.

H.B. China -

Plaintiff.....

.vs.

Charles Haskins

Defendant.

Action rule of law

Demand \$25-~~50~~ - \$10.00 - fees - ~~same~~

Cash —

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 13. Complainant filed Summary issue & in Supt. Court. L. J. Hill did
 " Summary returnal showing personal service on the Defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th
 day of April 1887 and filed -

19. In this action the Defendant having been regularly served
 with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for
 answering having elapsed the default of the said defendant in
 the premises is hereby duly entered according to law

" In this action the defendant having been regularly served
 with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having
 elapsed and the default of the said defendant in the
 premises having been duly entered according to law - now at
 this day on application E. E. Culham Plaintiff attorney it is
 ordered that Judgment herein against the said defendant
 in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint
 on file herein -

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and
 recover of and from the said defendant the sum of
 Twenty five - and $\frac{15}{100}$ Dollars principal and interest due on - and
 the sum of six and $\frac{6}{100}$ Cents - more or less -
 & in ^{suites} ~~any~~ all costs -
 present action of this cause - all in lawful money of the United States -
 Judgment for the principal and interest due on - 240.15 -

" " " " attorney fees - 10.00

" " " " Justices fees - 4.50

" " " " Court fee - 2.00

Total amount of Judgment \$42.15 -

S. H. Hill - J. M.

23. Proculta - retinuo Datis fus-

S. B. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of S.
Township,County of Burn, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Henry Watson & Jerry Smith.
 Defendant

Action Grand Larceny.
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 13. Plaintiff filed Warrant - issued and given Officer Man. McGee
 Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Cause set down for
 Examination at 1. O.C. P.M. April 13th 1887 -
 Special Subpoena for the People and given Const. Walter -

14. 1. O.C. P. M. Defendants in Court - This Cause by Consent - is
 Continued until 2. O.C. P. M. April 18th 1887 -

15. 2. O.C. P. M. Defendants in Court - Cause Continued until
 2. O.C. P. M. April 20th 1887 -

16. O.C. P. M. Defendants in Court - and say they are ready
 for trial - Ah Lean - Ah Shum - Ah Hung and Ah New
 Brown and Examined as witnesses for the People - Present -
 R. H. - Jas. Smith - Brandon George - J. W. Smith, Harry Watson
 Brown and Examined for the defense - Cause Closed agreed
 and Submitted - The Court after having fully Considered
 this Matter - ordered that the Defendant Jerry Smith be and
 he is hereby discharged - upon the ground that the evidence is
 insufficient to convict said Smith - And will take
 the Case of Harry Watson under advisement without delay -
 and remand said Harry Watson to the custody of the Sheriff -

25. 2. O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court - The Court having
 fully Considered this whole Matter - and it appearing
 to me from the evidence adduced - that the Defendant is
 not guilty as charged in the Complaint - on file here
 But it is clear from the evidence - that the Defendant
 is guilty of the Crime of Petit Larceny in taking from
 the house of one Ah Lean - a certain Chinese fellow -
 probably claimed by said Ah Lean - Defendant is
 discharged by this Court as to the crime of Grand Larceny -

S. H. Hill, J. M.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of San Benito, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Henry Watson Defendant

Action bargaining

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 14. Complainant filed Warrant of arraignment in C. P. Walton -
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty -
Cause set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. April 15th (1887) -
Special Subpoena issued from Court - Walton for the People
15. 1. O.C. P.M. This cause is continued until 1. O.C. P.M. April 16th (1887)
Complainant demands trial by jury - issue issued from Court
Special Subpoena for defendant -
16. 1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - remanded to Sub-primer examined
and filed - jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualifications
after which the following jurors were drawn to try the cause
namely: S. M. Brink, W. H. Hart, Little Shelly, Mr. Munro, John Burns,
John Gould, Herman Eggers, E. Burtin, F. Bancroft, C. Anderson
W. M. Cowing & F. M. Carlish -
Witnesses drawn and examined for and Con - Cause
submitted to the jury for their deliberation and decided -
The jury after a prolonged deliberation were returned
into Court and stated they could not agree as to
a verdict - The Court at once discharged said jury -
and remanded the defendant to the custody of the Sheriff
By order of the Court and in furtherance of justice
the cause is dismissed -

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Tuolumne, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>Henry Sullivan</u>	Action <u>Misdemeanor</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff
<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 15th Complain filed Warrant issued & signed Court C. L. Walton
 Plaintiff - in Court - and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law - Plaintiff - already guilty -
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant - fine and costs - Total Cost - Bulk - \$25⁰⁰
 Defendant - his charges -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B.

Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

Action Grand Jury

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Lincoln Hennick Defendant

Demand \$

Walter & Short
Attorney for Plaintiff
C. G. Day
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 15. Complain filed Warrant issue & give the affiant -
 paper
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights before the law - Defendant asked to be
examined now Defendant moved the Court to discharge the
Defendant upon the ground that the Court had no
jurisdiction to examine the defendant he having been once
examined and acquitted for the same charge -
 Motion overruled - Defendant - Except to the ruling of the Court,
Parties ready for examination - James Lewis done
and examined as witness for the People Prosecuting Counsel -
Defendant waived the examination of any witness -
 Court closed and Submittal - The Court after having
fully considered the same - And it appearing to me that
the offense charged in the Complaint on file here has
been committed - and that there is sufficient cause
to believe the above named defendant Lincoln Hennick
guilty thereof - I order that he be held to answer to the same
and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yerba and
that he be admitted to bail in the sum of One Thousand
Dollars and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of
Yerba until he give such bond -

J. H. H. G. S.
& C. G. Day
Attorneys for Plaintiff

18. Handed the listed Attorney the papers in this case

In the Justices Court of B. C. Township,
 County of Sonoma, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>John Doe - Gas - Plum</u>	Action <u>Disturbing the Peace</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff
	Attorney..... for Defendant

Plaintiff
vs.
Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 16 Complainant filed Warrant upon Court C. P. Waller -

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
County of *Burns*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	<i>Plaintiff</i>	<i>Action Petit Larceny</i>
<i>vs.</i>		<i>Demand \$</i>
<i>Scott Campbell & Frank Soc-ales</i>		<i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i>
<i>C. H. Spurges</i>	<i>Defendant's</i>	<i>Attorney for Defendant</i>

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 17. Complainant filed warrant issued & given Court. C. P. Walton -
r.p.v. Defendant - C. H. Spurges in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law. Defendant committed to
 the Sheriff of Burn County to await trial -

20. Defendant - Scott Campbell in Court and informed
 of the charge against him and of his rights - the premises -
 Court set down for trial at 9 o.c. a.m. April 21st 1887 -
 Opened Subpoenas - for Mifflin and Septe -

21. 9. o.c. 1. m. Subpoena - in Court and day being set ready for
 trial - Witnesses sworn and examined for and Con - Court
 argued and submitted - The Court - after having fully
 Considered this Matter - orders that the Defendant C. H. Spurges
 be discharged - upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient
 to sustain - the said C. H. Spurges and that the Defendant -
 Scott Campbell - is guilty - as charged - Defendant -
 waives the right to have him tried -

" Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
 Scott Campbell be and you are hereby fined in the sum
 of Thirty Dollars - and in default of the payment of said
 fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the
 Sheriff of the County of Burn and by said Sheriff that
 you be imprisoned in the County jail of said County in
 the proportion of one day imprisonment for every
 dollar of said fine -

S. H. Hill, J.S.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,
 County of Marin, State of California.

<i>J. W. Lucas</i> <small>vs.</small> <i>Charles Hodgeson</i>	Plaintiff	Action account
	Defendant	Demand \$39. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ /00 -
		<i>S. S. Wright</i> Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 18th Complainant filed Summons issued & via Const. C. P. Waller - Affidavit for attachment made and filed. Notifying an attachment issued & filed. Writ of attachment issued & via Const. C. P. Waller - 22nd Summons return showing service done of the summons - together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 18th day of April 1887 and filed - Writ of attachment executed returned and filed - 23rd Defendant paid on this action the sum of \$55 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 25th In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint here - and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant with process is being duly entered according to law - 26th In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file here and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law - "This cause by order of Plaintiff is dismissed having been paid in full." *J. H. Hill J. M.*

In the Justices Court of *Brown*<sup>3^a Township,
 County of *Sacramento*, State of California.</sup>

		Action	Account
<i>H. E. Cline</i>	Plaintiff	Demand \$57 ⁰⁰ /per -	<i>Wm. Brown</i> Attorney for Plaintiff
<i>J. W. Cane</i> <i>vs.</i>	Defendant		<i>Heath & Dill</i> Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 19. Plaintiff filed Summons, injunction and C.R. Writ -
 Summons returned showing formal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file here at the 3^d
 Township in the County and State aforesaid on the 19th day of
 April 1887 and filed this day -

22. Wm. Cane the defendant by his attorney and filed his motion
 to dismiss this action -

28. This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff attorney - J. N. F. Paul
D. H. Hale J.P.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Mono, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>John Allen -</u>	Action	<u>Pregnancy</u>
	Demand \$	
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorney for Defendant	

DATE 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21. Complain filed Warrant issued against Capt. Morgan -
 April 21. Before came in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his right in the premises - Expenditure placed but guilty
 Expenditure held in amount of \$60.00 for his appearance for
 trial -

In the Justices Court of Z. Township,County of Madera, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Jane Doe - Eva Howard Defendant

Action Breach of Promise

Demand \$

R. B. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff
Whitney & Hunt
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21st. Complainant filed Warrant issued by Court C. P. Walker -
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her
 and of her rights in the premises - Cause set down
 for Examination at 2 o'clock P.M. - April 21st 1887 -
 Defendant held for her appearance in a sum of
\$500 - Bond appears and filed -
 Issued Subpoenas - for the People & Superior Court -
 21. 2 o'clock P.M. Defendant in Court and says she is ready for
 Examination - Court appoints J.W. Graham Sheriff and reporter
 in this cause - After the test - sum as stipulated - Defendant
 agreed and substituted - The Court after having
 fully considered the same - and it appearing to me
 that the crime charged in the Complaint on file
 herein has been committed - and that there is
 sufficient reason to believe the above named defendant -
 Eva Howard guilty thereof - Order that she be held
 to answer to the same - and committed to the Sheriff
 of the County of Madera and that she be admitted
 to bail in the sum of one hundred dollars and is committed
 to the Sheriff of Madera County until she give such bail -
 This April 21st 1887.

D. H. Hill, J.S.
The affian Magistrate -

Handed the Papers in this case to the District Attorney -

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>Lue Brinson</u>	Action <u>Forcibly</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff
Defendant.....	Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 2nd. Complainant filed Warrant of arrest upon
 and given Const C. P. Waller - returnable before Am-
 M. R. Harris Superior Court of Madera County Cal -
 J. H. Hale pro.

In the Justices Court of S. P. Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Grand Larceny</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>John Doe, the Larcener</u>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21, Complaint filed. Warrant issued by Court-C. P. Hallin -
Rept.

In the Justices Court of B.
 County of Mono, State of California.
 Township,

<u>The People of California</u>	Action	<u>Mis-demeanor</u>
	Demand \$	
	Attorney	for Plaintiff

<u>vs.</u>	Defendant	Attorney
		for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21st Complain filed warrant issued against Court. W. S. Hale.
 Warrant issued in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - defendant placed guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence -

Whereby by reason of the time and the sum of appearance
 it is ordered and adjudged that he do stand off from the door
 of this Court - and in default of the payment of said
 sum that you be and you are hereby committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Mono and
 by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said
 County in the sum of one day imprisonment for
 every dollar of said sum -

S. S. Hale J. St.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

<p><u>The People of California</u> vs. <u>Frank Wilson</u></p>	<p>Plaintiff Defendant</p>	<p>Action <u>Plaintiff</u> Demand \$</p>	<p><u>F. A. Grupper</u> Attorney for Plaintiff <u>W. C. Wilson</u> - Attorney for Defendant</p>
--	-------------------------------------	---	---

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21st. Complaint filed Warrant issued & signed Court J. A. Barker
Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
and of his rights in the premises - Defendant committed
to the Sheriff in default of Bond to await his examination.

May 7. His cause set down for trial at 10. A.M. June 10th 1887
Special Deputy for the People & Defendant -

" 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and day he is ready for trial
E. B. Bradburn appointed short-hand reporter for Court
Holmes Brown and examined for and Court - Court
discharged - The Court after hearing fully considered the
same and held the defendant be discharged upon the
the Grounds that the evidence is insufficient to convict -

J. H. Hill J.A.

In the Justices Court of S.
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>Phil Racket-</u>	Action	Accrued - for Labor
	Demand	\$10 00
<u>J. G. Jones</u>	Attorney	for Plaintiff
	Attorney	for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21st Plaintiff filed Summary of his & his Court C. P. Wallin
 affidavit for attachment made & filed. Notating an attachment
 affixed and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court C. P. Wallin.
 22. Summary returned showing personal service and deposition
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st
 day of April 1887 and filed
 Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -
 Wm. Clegg the defendant in proper form and for
 answer done each and every allegation in the
 Plaintiff Complaint Contained -
 23. His Honor set down for trial at 9. A.M. April 25th 1887 -
 Special pleader for the defendant and given Court Wallin.
 24. 9. A.C. 8. M. Parties in Court - and say they are ready for trial
 and also ready trial by jury - Phil Racket, Burn and
 examine as a witness for the Plaintiff - Prosecution closed -
 J. J. Boyle - W. H. Smith - H. G. Battell - Burn and examine as
 witness for the defendant - defense closed - Anthony Nagle
 Frank Burn - A. P. Darling - and G. R. Whiting - Burn and examine
 as witness in rebuttal for the Plaintiff - Case submitted
 to the Court with the same under advisement -
 25. 2. O.C. 8. M. Parties in Court - The Court having fully considered the matter
 therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and
 adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said
 defendant a judgment for the sum of Two dollars amount allowed by the
 Court and the sum of Twenty three and $\frac{1}{2}$ cents necessary in connection with
 the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the United States -
 Judgment for the principal allowed by the Court 2.00

" " . Porter fees -	8.50
" " . Court costs "	8.70
Philip Frank Burn - one day	2.00
" A. P. Darling .. "	2.00
" G. R. Whiting .. "	2.00
Total amount of payment	\$25.20

S. H. Hill, J.S.

This case dismissed by order of Court of Appeal of Burn

In the Justices Court of ^{B.C.} Township,
 County of Placer, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> Plaintiff vs. <u>Thomas Kern</u> Defendant	Action <u>Non demand</u>
	Demand \$
	Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 22. Complainant - filed warrant against and given Court C.P. Walton.
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant allowed to at once leave the town -

S.H. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

H.B. Duttriff and M.P. Watson

Defendant

Action Populating & grubbing timber

Money -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 22. Complaint filed warrant issued against Court-C. P. Watson -

R.V. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
and of their rights in the premises - Defendant Mr. P. Watson -
gave bond in the sum of \$500. for his appearance for Examination.

H.B. Duttriff - and default of bond committed to the Sheriff of
Marin County to await his Examination

" 23. His Cause set down for Examination at 2 o'clock P.M. April 23, 1887.

" 2. o'clock P.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for
trial - J.H. Gearhart appointed Clerk-hands Reporter for
this Cause - J.H. Vickery - J.H. Austin - M. Davis - G.H. W. Sander

Half Bella filed as evidence - A. Warrington - Walter Bishop
Paul Meyer - man and Francisco as witnesses for the

People - Prosecutor Cleve - Ed. Baldwin by - E.H. Glavin
Richard Ellis - Hubert Wilson, H.B. Duttriff - M.P. Watson -

Examined and examined as witnesses - for the defense - Cleve
signed and submitted - The Court after

having fully considered this matter orders that the defendant
M. P. Watson - be discharged upon the ground that in
the opinion of the Court the evidence is insufficient to convict -

Dismiss M. P. Watson - as to H.B. Duttriff - The Court will take
his Cause under advisement until 1. o'clock P.M. April 25th 1887.

Defendant H.B. Duttriff gave bond in the sum of \$500.^a
for his appearance at the time and place last agreed -

1. o'clock P.M. By Court of the Supreme - the finding of the Court in this
action is Continued until 1. o'clock P.M. April 26th 1887 -

2. o'clock P.M. Defendants in Court - The Court having fully considered this
matter - and it appearing to me that the offense mentioned in
the Complaint on file herein has been committed - and that there
is sufficient cause wherein the above named defendant H.B. Duttriff guilty -
thereof - I order that he be held to answer to the same and committed
to the Sheriff of Marin County - and that he be admitted to bail with
sum of Five Hundred \$500. Dollars - and is committed to the Sheriff of the
County of Marin until he give such bail -

J. B. Hill J.P.
Deputy Sheriff

In the Justices Court of ^{3.} Township,
 County of , State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. William Summers - Defendant	Action	Battery
	Demand \$	
	Attorney	for Plaintiff

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 23 Complainant filed Warrant - sua sponte Court - C. P. Walker -
 Plaintiff in Court - and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Supreme fine & pain \$5.00 - Paid Court, \$2.50

J. H. Wile J. M.

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

The People of California - Plaintiff vs. Hugy Watson Defendant	Action Petition
	Demand \$
	Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant	

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 25th Plaintiff filed Warrant of Summons against C. P. Watson - before and in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law - Defendant pleads not guilty - Court set down for trial at 1 o'clock P.M. April 28th 1887 - Defendant demands trial by jury - Plaintiff issues Subpoena - for the People and defendant - 2 o'clock P.M. Defendant in Court avers he is ready for trial - service and Subpoena returned and filed - Jury drawn to answer questions as to qualifications - after which the following jurors were drawn by the Court - of the People - H. J. Williams - F. J. B. Stover - T. G. Bartlett - G. W. D. Carter - H. Orin - H. J. Williams - Fred Woodward - A. E. McDonald - S. J. Miller and J. H. Lucas - Witnesses drawn and examined - Plaintiff and - Court agreed and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict - The Jury after some length of time for consideration were returned into Court by the proper officer of the Court and reported that they could not agree upon a verdict - and were discharged -

May 2^d This Court is set down for Second trial at 9 o'clock A.M. May 3^d 1887 - Defendant demands trial by jury - Plaintiff issues Subpoena - 9 o'clock A.M. Defendant in Court service and Subpoena returned and filed - Parties ready for trial - Jury drawn to answer questions as to qualifications - after which the following jurors were drawn by the Court - of the People - H. J. Martindale - W. Barber - W. W. Fowler - G. H. Berry - John Davis - O. Johnson - Geo. Clark - Wm. Pool - Sam Armstrong - Wm. Payne - A. Sheon - A. Long - A. Brown - A. L. Sanborn and examined as witnesses for the People - present Cloud - J. H. Smith - Jas. Smith and Frank Longfellow - drawn and examined as witnesses for the defendant - before Cloud - Present Watson - drawn and examined in behalf of the People - Court - Plaintiff agreed and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict - after a short recess the Jury was returned into Court by the proper officer of the Court with the following verdict - We the Jury in the case of the People against Hugy Watson find the defendant guilty - J. H. Berry foreman - Suptg^{2d}

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Siskiyou, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

William Blair

Defendant

Action Burglary

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 25. Plaintiff filed Warrant & signed by Sheriff J. G. Morgan
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
 and waits the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant allowed to sit over hear the time -

J. H. Hill J. N.

Sum

May 14. Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. May 14th 1887 -
 May 14. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - this being the hour fixed for Sentence -
 Plaintiff by reason of the law and the premises affirms it is
 ordered and adjudge that for said offence that you Harry
 Blair be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Ten Dollars
 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be
 and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County
 of Siskiyou and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County
 jail of Siskiyou County in the proportion of one day imprisonment
 for every dollar of said fine -

J. H. Hill J. N.

In the Justices Court of (3.) Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>Ch. Johnson</u>	Action	<u>Misdemeanor</u>
	Demand \$	
	Attorney	for Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorney	for Defendant

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

April 26 Complain filed Warrant of Summons Court C. P. Walker
 June 27 Defendant in Court and in favor of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads
 guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence
 Judgment final & pronounced Paid Court \$250

J. H. Willard, Jr.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> Plaintiff vs. <u>John Metzrich</u> Defendant	Action..... Demand \$..... Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney for Defendant.....
--	---

DATE 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

April 28. Compt and filed Warrant upon & given Court - C. P. Wallin
 before him in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pled guilty
 and was the legal time for sentence -
 Sentence five and half $\frac{1}{2}$ - Paid Court $\frac{1}{2}$ -
 D. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Mary*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Action <i>Battery</i>
Plaintiff	
<i>vs.</i>	
<i>Elmer Dutton</i>	Demand \$
Defendant	
	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

April 27, Complaint filed Warrant issued against *C. P. Wallin* before me in Court and information of the charge against him and of his rights before the law explained - finding him guilty -
Carr not sworn for trial forthwith -
 Opened deliberations for the People and defense -
 Testimony given and examined Pro and Con - The court -
 after having fully considered the matter - ordered that the
 judgment be dis charged upon the ground that the
 testimony is insufficient to condemn

S. H. Will Jr.

In the Justices Court of *Brown*, Township,
 County of *Brown*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Tamis Murphy and Oleg Nelson</i>	Action <i>Pregnancy</i>
	Demand \$
	Defendant <i>S.</i>

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 2nd Complainant filed Warrant issued against Court - J.B. Morgan
 Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant allowed to leave the time - at once

S. H. Hill. J.S.

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California	Plaintiff	{
vs.		
James Murphy	Defendant	

Action disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 2. Complainant filed warrant issued by Justice Court J. S. Morgan
 vs. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises Defendant placed guilty
 and named the legal time he desired -
 Defendant allowed to have the time at once

J. H. Miller Jr.

In the Justices Court of *Brown* Township,
 County of *Brown*, State of California.

<i>Austin & Coffman -</i>	Plaintiff
<i>vs.</i>	
<i>G. W. Stein -</i>	
	Defendant

Action Account -

Demand \$7.58

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May - 4th Complaint filed

In the Justices Court of S, Township,
 County of San, State of California.

		Action Account -
<u>Austin & Coffman</u>	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 22. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ /oo
<u>vs.</u> <u>John Howe</u>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 1st 1st Complaint filed -
 July 1st Summons issued and given Court - C. P. Waller -
 " 21st Summary return showing service on the defendant -
 together with a copy of the complaint on file here on the 21st
 day of July 1887, and filed -
 Aug 1st Defendant came in Court with Plaintiff H. B. Austin before
 Philip Stewart J. P. passing for S. A. Hale J. P. and paid
 to Plaintiff the sum of \$ 22. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ /oo which is accepted
 by Plaintiff and Defendant agreed to adjust cost of
 suit.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,County of Burn, State of California.

Austin & Coffman Plaintiff
vs.
Chas Litton Super
Charles Luedtke -
Defendant

Action Account

Demand \$24. ²⁰/_{per}

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 14. Complaint filed -
June 2. Summons issued and given C. L. Luedtke -
5. Summary return showing personal service on the defendant
legally with a copy of the complaint on file here on the
5th day of June 1887 and filed -
15. In this action the defendant having been regularly served
with process and having failed to appear and answer
the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein - and the time
allowed by law for answering having expired and the
default of the said defendant in the forum is hereby duly
entered according to law
In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
Complaint herein - and the legal time for answering
having expired and the default of the said defendant in the
forum having been duly entered according to law now
at this day - on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that
judgment be entered herein against the said defendant
in account and with the paper of Daniel Plaintiff Com-
plain on file herein -
Whereupon by reason of the law and the sum & amount
it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
and receive of and from the defendant the sum of
Twenty four and ²⁰/_{per} the amount due and the
sum of six and ⁵⁰/_{per} mill - costs necessarily incurred
in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the U.S.
Judgment for the amount due for 24.20
" " " " Justices fees 4.50
" " " " Constable " 2.00
Total amount of judgment \$30.70
S. H. Hill J. M.
" Special abstract of judgment and given Plaintiff -

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
County of Tenn. State of California.

The Farmers Bank of Germ a - Corporation - <small>vs.</small> C. B. Duain and Ed. Game	Action	Note of Hand
	Demand	\$ 200 <small>v/p</small>
		Gupper & Gupper Attorneys for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 6th Plaintiff filed Summary judgment - C. P. Waller
affidavit for attachment made filed. Notstating an attachment
affidavit and filed. Writ of attachment filed against C. P. Waller.
Summons returned showing personal service on defendant - Ed
Game on the 6th day of May 1887 at the 3rd Judicial Township Court
of Germ and on defendant C. B. Duain on the 10th day of May
1887 at the City of San Francisco State of California to go forth with
a copy of the Complaint on file herein - and filed -
Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed -

June 4th In this action the defendant having been regularly served
with process and having failed to appear and answer the
Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed
by law for answering having expired the default of
the said C. B. Duain and Ed Game each in the premises
is hereby duly entered according to law -

In this action the defendants - C. B. Duain and Ed Game having
been regularly served with process and having failed
to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein
and the legal time for answering having expired and
the default of the said defendants each in the premises
having been duly entered according to law - now
at this day on application of Gupper and Gupper
attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment
be entered herein against the said defendant -
Ed Game as well as against the defendant C. B. Duain
in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff
W. S. Gupper being sworn as a witness testifies that fifty dollars
are paid and on file herein - it was a reasonable
allowing fees
therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
it is ordered and adjudged that the Farmers Bank
of Germ a Corporation the Plaintiff above named
and reason of and from the said defendants -
Ed Game and C. B. Duain the sum of Two hundred
Dollars the principal due on and the sum of Twenty-five
and $\frac{7}{8}$ per cent interest thereon - See page - 34 -

In the Justices Court of Yerba-Verde Township,
County of Yerba-Verde, State of California.

<u>J. H. Peopl of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action Brought -
vs.		Demand \$
<u>John B. Tunney & W^r. C. Tunney</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May
7.
 6th Complainant filed warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Wallin.
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Cause set down for Exam-
 ination at 2. O.C.P.M. May 7th 1887 - Final subpoena for the People.
 " 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and day they are ready for
 Examination - The Court appointed E. H. Burleigh Clerk having
 reported for Dr. Cass - G. L. Gruber, N. A. Beckford & C. McCloskey
 Drown and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution
 People called - Defendants raised the introduction
 of any testimony - Cass agreed and submitted -
 The Court having fully considered this matter and believing
 from the testimony that there is just reason to find the
 Commissioner of the office complained of in the deposition
 on file in this Court, it is therefore ordered and adjudged
 that John B. Tunney and W^r. C. Tunney - be and they are
 hereby required to enter into an undertaking to the effect
 that the said John B. Tunney be required to enter into an
 undertaking in the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars
 and the said W^r. C. Tunney be required to enter into an
 undertaking in the sum of one hundred dollars - each of them
 to keep the peace towards the people of this state and
 particularly towards the informer G. L. Gruber -
 Done in open Court this 7th day of May 1887.

S. H. Hill J. M.

Yerba-Verde
 Due 34 and the further sum of Fifty Dollars attorney fees and
 the sum of ~~Cash~~ necessarily expended in the prosecu-
 tion of this action all in Gold Coin of the United States -
 Judgment for the principal sum for 100.00
 Expenses thereon 24.77
 Justice for 4.50
 Costs 36.35
 Total amount of judgment \$ 271.64
 S. H. Hill J. M.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Tuolumne, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>Eugene Mahoney</u>	Plaintiff	Action Disturbing the Peace
	Defendant	Demand \$.....
		Attorney..... for Plaintiff

Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 7th Complainant filed Warrant of Summons before Court. J. L. Walton
 B.V. Defendant - in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant - ordered
 to appear in this Court at 10 A.M. May 10th 1887 on his
 own recognizance -

9th This Court is dismissed upon the ground that the income
 is insufficient to cover -

S.H. Hill J.P.

From -

Pay - 34, and the further sum of Fifty dollars attorney fees -
 and the sum of Two dit and 85^{1/2} dollars costs -
 necessary income in the prosecution of this action -
 all in Gold Coin of the Government of the United States -
 Judgment for the Principal of the sum and 200,000
 " Justice thereon - 24.79
 " Justices fees 8.50
 " Constab 3.83 5^{1/2}
 " Attorney 50.00
 Total amount of judgment. \$ 321.64

S.H. Hill Justice of the Peace

June 6. I give Executive and your Plaintiff attorneys -
 July 2. Executive return fully satisfied -

S.H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Battery</u> -
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>William Hunt</u>		Attorney ... for Plaintiff
	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 7 Complainant filed warrant issued against Const. C. P. Walton -
 Defendant - on Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights on the premises - Defendant pleaded Not guilty -
 after examination of the charge - The Court ordered that the
 Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence
 is insufficient to convict.

S. A. Hill J.M.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>Jack Patterson -</u>	Action <u>Battery</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff..... Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 8th. Plaintiff filed Warrant for his affiance - Defendant in Court in Clerk of Court C. P. Wallin and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pled guilty - and was ordered to appear for sentence at 11 A.C. & M. May 9th 1887 on his own recognizance -

9. G. O. & W. Supreme in Court - This being the time fixed for sentence - Defendant paid fine & paid \$4⁰⁰ - Paid Clerk \$5⁰⁰ - S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Mono, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>Mariano Gondolas</u>	Plaintiff	Action disturbing the Peace
		Demand \$
	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 9. Complainant filed warrant of arrest against Court C. P. Walton -
Paul defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded guilty -
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and fined $\$5.00$ - Paul Case - $\$2.50$
S. H. Hall - J. R.

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,
 County of Brown, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>battery</u>
<u>vs,</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>William Carter</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney..... for Plaintiff Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May. 9th Complainant - filed warrant upon & given Capt. C. H. Shantz
R. W. Defendant - in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant - plead guilty -
 and was the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant allowed to leave time at once -

S. H. Hill, J. S.

In the Justices Court of Sacramento
 County of Yolo, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>E. M. Ratto</u>	
	<u>Defendant</u>

Action <u>Fraud</u>
Demand \$
Attorney <u>for Plaintiff</u>
Attorney <u>for Defendant</u>

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 10. Complainant filed warrant issued by Court C. P. Waller
 before - vs. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises -

" Defendant filed his demurrer to the Complaint on file herein
 summa dictamina - Court dismised defendant's demurrer

J. H. Hill J.M.

In the Justices Court of Z. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>Wm. Duglin</u>	Action <u>Battery</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff Attorney..... for Defendant
<u>Plaintiff</u> <u>Defendant</u>	

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 10. Complaint filed warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Waller
 Paid 11 before court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights on the premises defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for service
 Defendant fined and Paid \$5.00 Paid Court \$1.00 -

J. H. Hill J.A.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,
 County of Fresno, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
John Soule, Chas. McCaugh, Frank Wilson
Frank Clyde, and Roff Soule. Defendant

Action Pregnancy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 18: Complainant filed Warrant of arrest and given Const C.P. Walton -
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty
 and name the legal time for sentence.

Wherefore by reason of the law and the previous agreement
 it is ordered and adjudged that the said officers that you
 and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the
 custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said
 Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of San Joaquin County for
 a period of five days each of you

S. H. Hill, J.S.

In the Justices Court of *C^o* Township,
 County of *Burn*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff
<i>H. S. Key</i>	<i>vs.</i>
	Defendant

Action <i>Battery</i> -
Demand \$
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 16th Complainant filed warrant of arraignment against Court - C. P. Hutton -
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law. Defendant plead guilty
 and waived the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined five dollars - Paid Complainant

J. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of 30
 County of Brown, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 Lucy See Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Mr. 16' Complainant file warrant issued and give Const C. S. Walter -
 Repeal Defendant in cause and informed of the charge against him
 and of his right in the premises. Defendant plead not guilty
 " Defendant ready for trial - Cause dismissed upon the
 grounds that the evidence is insufficient to sustain -

S. H. Hill J. P.

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California vs. Dick Campbell	Action	Battery
	Demand \$	
	Attorney	for Plaintiff

Defendant	Attorney for Defendant
-----------	------------------------

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 17. Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Court C. P. Walker
 defendant in cause and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty,
 and names the legal time for sentence -
 before court fixed fine dollars -

Now Comes C. C. Farrel and avers the payment of said
 fine - and upon such assumption of said facts, the said
 cause is hereby dismissed - and defendant discharged -

S. H. Hill Jr.

Yerba

May 17-

in default of the payment of said fine be and you
 are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the
 County of Yerba - and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the
 County jail of said County in the proportion of one day's
 imprisonment for every dollar of said fine - this Court - ^{per}

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Pat. Mathews & John Doe - alias
James M. Britt Defendant

Action Battery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 17. Complainant filed warrant issued by Court C. P. Wallin -
Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead not guilty
Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. May 24th 1887 - Defendants
held for appearance in absence of Jiffy Valley each - Bond agreed
and filed - Special Subpoena for the People and defendant;
Special Subpoena for the People & for Court - Parker.
18. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court - Defendants demanded trial by jury
verdict of twelve and one. Court C. P. Wallin - Cause
continued until 9. O.C. A.M. May 25th 1887 -
25. 9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court - Verdict and Subpoena returned and
filed - Parker ready for trial - Parker sworn to answer questions
as to qualifications after which the following gave by Consent
of Parties were sworn to by the Court - Wm. Roberts -
John Johnson - Frank Bruce - John Munro C. Campbell and
George Clark - W. D. Barnes - Thomas E. Hughes - S. L. Sison -
and examined as witnesses for the People - Parker not - called -
W. A. Nichols, James McBritt, Pat Mathews, Joseph Hughes - C. Bruce -
and Mr. May noble - sworn and examined as witness for the defense
before Cloud - Thomas E. Hughes sworn and examined in rebuttal
for the People - Court Cloud - argued and submitted to
the jury for their deliberation and verdict - The jury after
advice retirement - were returned to Court with the following
verdict - Present May 25th 1887. We the jury find the defendants
as charged and recommend them to the Mercy of the Court.
Frank Bruce - Freeman - Guy discharged - and defendants
ordered to appear in this Court for sentence at 11. O.C. A.M. May 26th 1887.
26. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court - This being the hour fixed
for sentence - Whereby by reason of the law and the
premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that the
said officers that you Pat Mathews and James McBritt
he and you are each of you heavily fined in the sum
of sixteen and $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent - Dollars - James McBritt - at once paid said
fine and was therupon discharged - and that you Pat Mathews
See page 46 -

In the Justices Court of B^o Township,
 County of Tun, State of California.

The People of California vs. E. Henderson	Plaintiff Defendant	Action <u>Grand</u> Demand \$..... Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
---	----------------------------	---

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 19. Complainant filed Warrant issued and given C. P. Miller -
 " 23. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises -
 Defendant absconded -

D. H. Hale Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

C. B. Hartung	Plaintiff
vs.	
C. P. Walton	
	Defendant

Action Claim and delivery of Person
 Suing - and default -
 Demand \$ 57 ..

P. S. Grady -
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 20. Complaint on Claim and delivery filed - Summary from
 affiant on Claim and delivery made - Interstating
 on Claim and delivery - made - Court J. A. Barker

24. Wm Clegg the defendant by his attorney S. J. Hines and for
 answer denies each and every material allegation in
 the Plaintiff Complaint - Contained -

" 26. Summary affidavit and Interstating returned during
 personal service on the defendant - on the 20th day of May 1887
 and filed this day -

28. This cause set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. June 1st 1887 -

June 8. J.S. for - Party E.G. Mahony -

In the Justices Court of (30) Township,
 County of Marysville, State of California.

<u>J. Fletcher</u>	Plaintiff
<i>vs.</i>	
<u>G. M. Miller, Little Miller his wife -</u>	
<u>John Dr. Samanovich and Laura -</u>	
<u>Samanovich his wife -</u>	Defendant

Action Account for work done -
 Demand \$71. 60
H. S. Geary
 Attorney for Plaintiff
S. S. Wright
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 21st Complain filed Summons against Court.
 " " Caus the defendant by their attorney S. S. Wright and
 file their answer to the Plaintiff Complaint or file荒is-
 " " This Cause is set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. May 21st 1887.
 21st 2 o'clock P.M. This Cause by Counsel of Parties entered until
 2 o'clock P.M. May 24th 1887.
 " 24. By order of Plff's attorney this Cause is dismissed.

J. H. Hill J.M.

In the Justices Court of B Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> vs. <u>John John Doe -</u>	Action <u>Battery -</u>
	Demand \$
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 21st. Complainant filed Warrant issued given Court C.P. Wallen -
 27th Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Sentence final and sealed - \$5⁰⁰ - fine Court - \$25⁰⁰.

J. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>John Williams and Thomas Field</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	<u>Action Petit Jury</u>
	<u>Defendant</u>	
		<u>Demand \$</u>
		<u>Attorney for Plaintiff</u>
		<u>Attorney for Defendant</u>

DATE. 1888.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 23 Complaint filed Warrant issued & given before Court J.C. Mayan

In the Justices Court of *(30)* Township,
 County of *Marysville*, State of California.

James Muller- Plaintiff
vs.
Joe C. Kamm & Sarah Kamm his wife - Defendant

Action *Suit for Damages -*
 Demand \$60.00 per
 Attorney *for Plaintiff*
 Attorney *for Defendant*

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 23. Complaint filed Summons issued against Court-C. P. Wallin -
 31. Summons returned showing personal service made & returned
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 30th
 day of May 1887 and filed this day -

June 6th This cause by order of Plaintiff is dismissed - J. D. for Plaintiff -
B. H. Hill - J. D.

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

		Action Account -
<i>Austin & Coffman</i>	PlaintiffS	Demand \$71. 82/00
<i>C. S. Dunn</i>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 23. Complain filed Summary issue against Court-C. P. Hall
 affidavit for attachment made & file Writ setting on attachment
 affirmed and filed Writ of attachment issued against Court-C. P. Hall
 June 3. Summary return showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st
 day of May 1887 at the City of San Francisco California
 and filed this day June 3. 1887 —
 Writ of attachment returned and filed —
 " 21st In this action the defendant having been regularly served
 with process and having failed to appear and answer the
 Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by
 law for answering having expired — the default of the
 said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
 In this action the defendant having been regularly served
 with process and having failed to appear and answer the
 Plaintiff Complaint herein and the legal time for
 answering having expired and the default of the said defendant
 in the premises having been duly entered according to law
 now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered
 that judgment be entered against the said defendant
 in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Com-
 plaint on file herein —
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is
 ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and
 recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Seventy-
 One and 82/00 dollars the amount of the principal sum on
 and the sum of Sixty-one and 82/00 dollars costs necessary
 to collect in the four ends of this action all in lawful
 money of the United States —
 Judgment for the principal sum on — 71. 82
 " " " Justices fees — 8. 50
 " " " Constable — 31. 45
 Total amount of judgment — \$111. 77
S. H. H. J. S.

1887 July 2nd Recd in Justice and filed
1887 June 27th Process Issued and served on Plaintiff

In the Justices Court of (3^o) Township,

County of Yuma, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. Wright Geo. Graham
Maurk Clark and John Hickey Defendant

Action Pregnancy-

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 24, Compl and filed - Warrant issued and given Court C.P. Haller.
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights - on the premises - Defendant Maurk
 Clark pleads not guilty - and upon examination the
 Court advised that said Maurk Clark be discharged upon
 the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict -
 Defendants Geo. Wright Geo. Graham and John Hickey - plead
 guilty - and remain the legal time for sentence -
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises a finding
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that
 you Geo. Wright Geo. Graham and John Hickey be and you
 are hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Yuma
 and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail
 of said County for a term of ten days each of you

S. H. Hill J. P.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Marysville*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff	Action <i>b&gancy</i>
<i>vs.</i>		Demand \$
<i>James Gordon</i>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 24 Complainant filed Warrant against Court - C. P. Wallin -
 " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his right in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty -
 and committed to the Sheriff to await his trial -

25. Defendant in Court and pleads guilty - and waives
 the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant allowed at once to leave the time -

S. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of B.
 County of Guern, State of California.
 Township,

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 Chas. Carroll - Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1880

PROCEEDINGS.

May 24. Plaintiff filed warrant issued by Court C. P. Walton.
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant plead guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence
 that you Chas. Carroll be and you are hereby
 fined in the sum of Fifty Dollars - and in default
 of the payment of said fine that you be and you are
 hereby committed to the Sheriff of the County of Guern
 and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said
 County in the sum of one day imprisonment for
 every dollar of said fine -

S. H. Hill. J. S.

In the Justices Court of *B. C.* Township,
 County of *Sonoma*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i> vs. <i>Chas Carroll</i>	Action <i>bargaincy</i>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
<i>Defendant</i>	Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 24. Complaint filed warrant issued & given Const. C. P. Walker
R. H. W. Supreme in Court also information of the charge against
 him and of his right in the premises -
 Supreme in charge -

J. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

P. Le Blenc Plaintiff
 vs.
 A. Burr and Mrs
 Jane Bar Brinn - Defendant

Action Replevin -

Demand \$75^m damages - \$25^m

S. H. Hines
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 25: Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff
 affidavit and undertaking on claim and delivery
 made - and given Plaintiff

June 2: Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 A. Burr together with a copy of the Complaint on file here
 on the 26th day of May 1887 and filed this day -
 affidavit on claim and delivery received returned & filed
 undertaking - on claim and delivery filed

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

		Action Account
Jere Ryan	Plaintiff	Demand \$19 ⁰⁰ /100
vs. Chas. Hodgdon	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 26 Complainant filed Summary - issued & given Const C. P. Walton - affidavit for attachment made & filed. Notitating on attachment affixed and filed - Writ of attachment issued & given Const Walton - Summary return showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 30th day of May 1887 - and filed -

" Writ of attachment Recounted returned and filed -

June 3. Defendant paid on this action the sum of \$22⁰⁰ -

3. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process - and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant - in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law -

" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process - and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law -

" Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered against the said defendant in a concurrence with the prayer of said Complaint on file herein - Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and receive of and from the said defendant the sum of \$19⁰⁰ amount due for - and the sum of \$18⁰⁰ costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action - in lawful money of the United States -

" Judgment for the principal sum on - . 19.00

" " " Justices fees - 8.60

" " " Constable " - 9.60

" Total amount of Judgment - \$37.00

" This judgment is creditable by \$22⁰⁰ -

" Credit also and expenses - \$15.00

" S. H. Hill J. P.

" 6. Special Execution and garnishee -

June 8th 1887. County Seal - Justice in Gold - J. H. Hill J. P.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Tuolumne, State of California.

3.

Kutina Goldstein & Co. Plaintiff
vs.
R. E. Montague Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand \$110.83/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 27 Complain filed Summons issued & given to C. P. Walker
 28. Summons returned showing service made on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein
 on the 27th day of May 1887, and filed —

June 12. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law
 for answering having expired the default of the said defendant
 in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law —
 " On this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having
 elapsed and the default of the said defendant in the premises
 having been duly entered according to law — Now at
 this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that
 judgment be entered herein against the said defendant
 in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint
 on file herein —
 Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
 and recover of and from the said defendant the sum
 of one hundred and ten and 83/100 dollars the principal
 and for the sum of five and 4/100 dollars the interest thereon
 and the further sum of Seven Dollars Cash necessarily
 incurred in the prosecution of this action, all in United States Gold
 Coin —
 " Judgment for the Principal sum on 110.83
 " Interest thereon — 3047
 " Justices fees 4.50
 " Constable 2.50
 " Total amount of Judgment \$148.30
 " S. H. Lee J.P.

3. Abstract of Judgment handed Plaintiff

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Burn*, State of California.

<i>Sh. Cheu</i>	Plaintiff	Action <i>Sue Bill</i>
<i>C H. Han</i> vs.		Demand \$ 47. 5/100
	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 27. Complaint filed Summary judgment Court C. P. Walker -
 28. Summons returned showing General Service on the defendant -
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 29th
 day of May 1887 and filed -
 " Case dismissed by order of Plaintiff - *for his cause*

J. H. Hill, J. S.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Sacramento*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff
vs.	
<i>Clifton Clark</i>	
	Defendant

Action *bargainey*

Demand \$

W. S. Gaffey Esq. - City Attorney
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1907.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 27. Plaintiff filed Warrant - issued against Court - C. P. Walter.
 Paid
 31. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty and names the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and given time and \$100 - Dollars -
 with the understanding and condition that he will keep out of the town for the sum of one year -
J. H. Hell, Jr.

In the Justices Court of P.
Township,
 County of Tenn - , State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. Joe Davis — Defendant	Action	Petition
	Demand \$	W. C. Suppe, Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Mr. 27. Complainant filed Warrant upon Court-C. P. Hall. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads Not guilty - Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a Bond of \$5000.00 fine Sollay - Bond appears and filed -

" This Cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. May 31st 1887 -

31. Special service and garn Court -

" 2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court - summons returned and filed - Defendant made and filed his affidavit - setting a Charge of sum - upon the ground, set out in said affidavit - The Court at once granted said Charge of sum - and ordered that said Cause of action be and the same is hereby transferred to the Court of Philip Stuart a Justice of the Peace & Judicial Township County of Tenn State of California.

S. H. Hill, J.P.

In the Justices Court of S^a Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>Joe Richardson</u>	
	Defendant

Action Plaintiff

Demand \$

F. G. Buffum At-L-L-City
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. (1887).

PROCEEDINGS.

May 27th Complainant - filed warrant - issued by Court - C. P. Walker.
 June 4th Defendant - in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights as a witness - Defendant pleads not guilty -
 Defendant - holds for his appearance for trial in a Bond
 of Fifty Dollars - Bond signed and filed
 25. In this action the bond of the defendant - is fully released -
 and defendant - holds for his appearance on his own
 recognizance -

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. John Doe Shepard alias Frank Shepard Defendant	Action <i>or upon</i> Demand \$ <i>H. S. Gaffey atty.</i> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	---

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 27 Complainant filed Warrant of Summons against Court - C. P. Walker -
 28 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against
 him and of his right in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty
 " Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum
 of Fifty Dollars - Bond appears and filed -
 June 2. This cause is set down for trial at 10 A.M. June 7th 1887 -
 Defendants bondsmen release and defendant agrees
 to appear for trial on his own recognizance -

In the Justices Court of P. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
John Bar - alias
Mal-Deury Defendant

Action Burglary
 Demand \$
F. B. Tupper att: Gen: att:
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE May

PROCEEDINGS.

1887. 27. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Court - C. P. Walter.
 " 31. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his right before the law.

June 1st This cause set down for trial at 10 o'clock A.M. June 2^d 1887
 Defendant to go on his own recognizance -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
M. Madson Defendant

Action Plaintiff

Demand \$

R. B. Gray Esq. Atty.
Attorney for PlaintiffJ. G. Hinckley
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 27th Complaint filed Warrant issued against Court C. P. Walker -
 28th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant holds to
 answer for trial in the sum of \$500^a. Bond affixed and filed -
 June 2nd This Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. June 4th 1887 -
 Defendant claiming trial by jury venire issued -
 Special Subpoenas for the People & Defendant -
 6. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court venire & Subpoenas
 returned and filed -
 On application of the Plaintiff - This Cause is continued
 until June 18th 1887 - at 11. O.C. A.M. Jury on charge -
 18. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and on application
 of the Plaintiff and by Court of the District Attorney
 this Cause is continued until 2. O.C. P.M. June 21st 1887
 Defendant claims trial by jury venire subpoena issued
 21. 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court venire and Subpoena
 returned and filed - Then comes the Plaintiff files his
 affidavit asking a Change of venue as set out in
 said affidavit - Which could be had and accepted -
 Parties now ready for trial - Jury sworn to answer
 questions as to qualification - Verdict presented, Court
 issued Special venire - Court took a recess until 3rd O.C.
 P.M. - 3rd O.C. P.M. venire returned and filed - venire
 discharged - Court issued 2nd Special venire - Court took
 recess until 5. O.C. P.M. 5. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court
 after which the following jurors were sworn to try the
 cause trial - Chas. Hodgdon - J. Byman - Frank Burleigh,
 J. S. Clark - Wm. Holm - Wm. Williams, H. Russell, C. H. Cutting,
 Aug. Young - W. L. Cate, J. N. Abbott, S. Griffith -
 Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. June 22nd 1887 -
 22. 9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - Jury all present - Parties
 ready to proceed - J. A. Canthus - W. Jagger - W. Canthus,
 W. Clark - W. L. B. Church - Miss Canthus - Chas Schrade -
 F. Warner - Wm. Bolander - John Chastain - See page 69 -

Plaintiff - Plaintiff June 22nd 1887 - and Defendant -
 Defendant - Plaintiff June 22nd 1887 - and Plaintiff -

John H. Hall, Esq.

In the Justices Court of B.C. Township,
County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William Doyle & Wm Callahan Defendants

Action Pregnancy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 28. Complainant filed warrant upon Sheriff C. P. Walker -
Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
and of their rights in the premises - Defendants pled guilty
and named the legal time for sentence -
Defendants allowed to at once leave the County

S. H. Hill J. S.

From

Page 68. - A.W. Lyon - A.M. Soper - W. M. Jeffords - S. McClelland - Dunn and
Patterson as witnesses for the Plaintiff - Cleve - for the People -
M. Madden - W. Gilmore - G. W. Newton - S. Pedder & W. Blan have
before Cleve - Court Clerk signed and submitted
to the jury for their deliberation and verdict -
after a short retirement the jury were returned into Court
with the following verdict - Given 22nd 1887 - We the jury find
the defendant guilty as charged - Wm. Blan foreman -
after which the jury were discharged and the defendant
ordered to appear for sentence at 1. O.C.P.M. given 23rd 1887 -

1887, June 23. 1. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court - and informed of the nature
of the charge against him - and of his plea and of the verdict of
the jury - and whether or not he had any legal cause
to claim only the judgment of the Court - Should not be
pronounced against him - Defendant filed his motion
for a new trial - which would defendant except -
therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is
ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you, W.
Madden be and you are hereby fined with sum of Forty
Six and $\frac{1}{2}$ dollars and in default of the payment of
said fine that you be and you are hereby committed
to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yerba and by
said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of San Luis
in the premises of one day imprisonment for every
dollar of said fine -

S. H. Hill J. S.

Argued before the Hon. J. C. 1887 -
Given June 23rd 1887 - S. H. Hill

Transcribed & shaped from the original by S. H. Hill -

In the Justices Court of B.
 County of Yuma, State of California.
 Township,

State of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 S. W. Hixx Defendant

Action By breaking the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. S. Tracy

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. (1887)

PROCEEDINGS.

May 30. Complaint filed - Warrant issued against Court Clerk C. P. Walker
 31. Defendant in Court at defendant's request and informed
 of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises -
 Defendant pleads not guilty as charged -
 Defendant held for trial on his own recognizance -
 Court set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. June 1st 1887 -
 Defendant demands trial by jury which is issued -
 Special Subpoena for the People and defendant -
 June 1st 11 o'clock A.M. Wm. Clegg the defendant by his attorney H. B. Brady -
 and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty to plead
 guilty as charged. The Court granted said motion -
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the said attorney that
 you demand Hixx be and you are hereby committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff of Yuma County and by
 said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said
 County in the proportion of one day imprisonment -
 for every dollar of said fine - Said fine \$4⁰⁰.

D. H. Hale J.J.

In the Justices Court of *B.C.* Township,
 County of *Sutter*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff	Action <i>Burglary</i>
<i>vs.</i>		Demand \$
<i>James Harris William Miller</i>		Attorney for Plaintiff
<i>Thomas Parry & Jas. Ryan</i>	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 30th Plaintiff filed Warrant upon Sheriff C. D. Morgan
 31. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against
R.P. them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants
 plead guilty and waive the legal time for defense -
 Defendants allowed to leave the time -

S. H. Hill, J.S.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Tuolumne, State of California.

The People of California vs. Dennis Murphy Defendant	Action	Indictment & Informer
	Demand \$	
		Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE. 1867

PROCEEDINGS.

May 31. Complaint filed warrant issued & given Court C. P. Walker -
 "Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads
 guilty and states the legal time for sentence -
 Plaintiff by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that he doth appear that
 you Dennis Murphy be and you are hereby fined
 in the sum of Fifty dollars - and in default of the
 payment of said fine that you be and you are
 hereby committed to the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and
 by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said
 County in the proportion of one day imprisonment
 for every dollar of said fine -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B.
2001 Township,
County of State of California.

The People of California
vs.
Geo. Love

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action burglary
Demand \$
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1867

PROCEEDINGS.

May 31 Complainant filed Warrant of拘捕 and given Const. Walter
June 1st Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded guilty
and named the legal time for sentence -
Defendant allowed to go back for Mr. Haller

S. H. Hill J. St

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,
 County of Name, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. Dick Wilson Defendant	Action	bargained
	Demand \$	
		Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE, 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

May 31. Complaint filed warrant issued & given to C. P. Walter -
 June 1st Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law Defendant pleads
 guilty and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Judgment by reason of the law and the premises above and
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
 Dick Wilson be and you are hereby committed to the custody
 of the Sheriff of the County of Yuma and by said Sheriff im-
 prisoneed in the County jail for a period of fifteen days

D. A. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>S. C. Wootten - Plaintiff</u>	{
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>William Johnson</u>	}
<u>Defendant</u>	

Action Note of Hand
 Demand \$52. 50/100
R. Dunn Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1869

PROCEEDINGS.

June 1st Complaint filed Summons issued against Comr. J. A. Bush

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i> <small>vs.</small> <i>John Doe -</i> <i>Gus Rodgers -</i>	Action <i>Petit Larceny</i>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney for Plaintiff.....
	Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

June 1st Plaintiff filed warrant issued against Capt. Const. C. H. Mason
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law. Defendant appeared in
 Court - the sum of \$100⁰⁰ for his appearance for trial -
 Defendant discharged upon the ground that the accused
 is insufficient to convict -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Fresno, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. John Doe - alias - James Condon - Defendant	Action <u>Poile Larceny</u> Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
---	--

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 1st Plaintiff filed Warrant issued against Capt. Court C. W. Marce
 3 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Court set down for
 trial at 2 o'clock P.M. June 4th 1887 - Defendant committed
 to the Sheriff of Fresno County to await his trial -
 " This Court set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. June 4th 1887
 issued Subpoena for the People to appear Court -
 4 2 o'clock P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial
 Defendant names his by going - Joe Silva - Joe E. Silva
 Gus Rogers and C. W. Marce sworn and examined as
 witnesses for the人民 and Jas. Condon for the defense
 Cause closed and submitted - The Court after
 hearing fully considered the same - find that the defendant
 is guilty as charged - and ordered to appear before Justice
 at 10 o'clock A.M. June 6th 1887 -
 6 10 o'clock A.M. Defendant in Court - this being the time fixed for sentence -
 Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that
 you James Condon be and you are hereby fined in the
 sum of Fifty Dollars - and in default of the payment
 of said debt you be and you are hereby committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by
 said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County -
 in the proportion of one day's imprisonment for every
 dollar of said fine -

S. H. Hill, J.P.

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Clark Defendant

Action Misdeemor in restraining
an officer
Demand \$

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 3. Complaint filed Warrant issued against Capt. Carr - C. H. Fraser

No. 207-

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Fresno, State of California.

S. C. Mohr	Plaintiff	Action Claim and delivery
vs.		Demand \$125.00
Henry Priestly	Defendant	H. S. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff H. S. Gray Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 3^r Complain - on Claim and delivery Exhibit and file
 Summary from and give Court C. P. Wallin -
 affiant and testifying on Claim and delivery
 made appear and give Court C. P. Wallin -
 Mr. C. P. Wallin the defendant by his attorney H. S. Gray and
 file his summary to the Plaintiff Complaint - on file here -

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Gen. Ellis Chas. Furley and
Carrie Ender - Defendant

Action Motion opinion
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 4. Complaint filed Plaintiff - vs. Gen. Chas. C. P. Waller.
 Dfndant - in Court and inform of the Charge against them
 and of their rights on the premises - Defendants plead guilty
 and waive the legal time for defense -
 Defendants allowed at once to leave the庭 -

S. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

Action Grand Jury

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Henry Ammons, ^{A.B.} Richardson
and Elizabeth Lucy Murray Defendant

Demand \$

B.B. Tracy
Attorney for PlaintiffP.B. Tracy
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 16th Complainant filed Warrant of Arrest in Court - C.P. Wallin.
 Defendants - Elizabeth Murray and A.B. Richardson - in
 Court and informed of the Charge against them and of
 their rights in the premises. Defendant Elizabeth Murray
 appeared in Court - the sum of \$65⁰⁰ for her appearance
 for Examination - Defendant A.B. Richardson gave
 Bond in the sum of \$200⁰⁰ for his appearance for
 trial - Court set down for Examination at 10 A.M. June 7th 1887 -
 " 7. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready
 for trial - Witnesses Brown and Ettinger as witnesses
 for and Con - came but little - The Court ordered
 that the Defendants be and they are hereby discharged
 upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient
 to sustain -

S.H. Hill, J.P. -

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
County of *Madera*, State of California.

G. G. Schneider Plaintiff }
vs.
Charles Hadgdon Defendant }

Action Account -
Demand \$26.94/oo
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /887

PROCEEDINGS.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Yerba, State of California.

		Action Taken by
The People of California	Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand \$
Elizabeth Murray		R. B. Berry Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	H. S. Tracy Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

June 7. Complainant filed Warrant - issued by Court - Murray
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her
and of her rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty
Cause set down for trial at 3. O.C.P.M. June 7th 1887.
Defendant demands trial by Jury - same issued -
Issued Subpoena for the People and for Court,
3. O.C.P.M. Defendants in Court - sum and subpoena
returned and filed - Parties ready for trial - Juries sum
to answer questions as to qualification - after which
the following Juries by Consent of Parties were drawn
to try the Cause as follows, trial: R. B. Washburn, M. Madson
Frank Bruce - A. Wilson, J. Mitchell, John Johnson, B. Campbell,
and C. E. Crane - G. H. M. Bull, C. J. Bumhore and
W. Hammond - drawn and examined as witnesses
for the People - Prosecution - done
C. J. Bumhore - drawn and examined - for the defense -
Cause closed argued and submitted to the jury
for their deliberation and verdict -
The jury after five hours returned were returned
in the Court by the proper officer of the Court with the
following verdict - trial - Yerba June 7th 1887 We the
jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and we com-
mend her to the full mercy of the Court - Frank Bruce
freeman - Defendant - notified to appear before the Court
at 1. O.C.P.M. June 8th 1887 -

8. 1. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for
sentence. Defendant informed by the Court of the nature
of the charge against her - and of her plea and the verdict
of the jury and asked if she has any legal cause
to whom why judgment should not be pronounced
against her - now on application of the defendant
and by Consent of the District Attorney sentence is continued
until 10. O.C.P.M. June the 10th 1887 -

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>William Burream</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>
<u>vs,</u>	
<u>J. B. Pilay</u>	
	<u>Defendant</u>

Action for loss of hand

Demand \$200^c

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 7. Complainant filed Summons issued by C. W. Brann
 affidavit for attachment made and file - Plaintiff
 departed in Court - the sum of \$100^c - Equal to the amount
 required - Writ of attachment issued and given Sept. Court Brann

In the Justices Court of (3^o) Township,
County of Sonoma, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
He. Williams and wife Antazo Defendant

Action battery.
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff.....
Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 4. Complainant filed Warrant issued by Hon. Court. C.P. Wallin -
Defendant - in Court and informed of the charge against them
and of their right before the law Defendants plead guilty
and name the legal time for sentence -
Defendants permitted to at once leave the Court
S.H. Hill J.S.

June 11. 2. O.C.P.M. Defendant - in Court - and on application of the
Defendant and by consent of the District attorney - the time
of sentence is continued until 2. O.C.P.M. June 14th 1887 -
14. 2. O.C.P.M. June 14th 1887 Defendant in Court - This being the time
fixed for sentence - Defendant again informed of the nature
of the charge against him of his plea and the rights of the
jury - and asked whether she has any legal cause to show
judgment should not be pronounced against her -
Defendant by attorney S.J. Hinds filed his affidavit - and
when asking for a respite "The Dame being submitted
The Court being fully convinced the Dame excused
miti
Date to which defendant - except -
Whereupon by reason of the same and the premises aforesaid
it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
Elizabeth Murray be and you are hereby committed
to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Sonoma and by
said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said City
for a period of thirty days -
S.H. Hill J.S.

1. Defendant - present - has filed an appeal to the Supreme
Court. Being appealed and filed -
2nd Defendant filed his notice of appeal to the Supreme Court
Statement and papers handed Aug 22nd 1887 -
S.H. Hill J.S.

Sept. 6. Judgment affirmed - Commitment issued to Sheriff Mendocino
S.H. Hill J.S.

In the Justices Court of *B. D. 2nd* Township,
 County of *Mary*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	<i>Plaintiff</i>	}
<i>vs.</i>		
<i>Andy Brown</i>		
	<i>Defendant</i>	

Action <i>Burglary</i>	
Demand \$	
	Attorney <i>for Plaintiff</i>
	Attorney <i>for Defendant</i>

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 4. Compiled and filed warrant issued against *C. J. B. Morgan*.
 " 10. Defendant in court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for
 his appearance for trial in a bond of \$500. - Bond
 approved and filed -

Oct. 15. His cause is dismissed upon the ground that the
 evidence is insufficient to connect.

J. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of State of California.

The People of California	Plaintiff
J. G. Lambut	Defendant

Action <i>Bargain or</i>
Demand \$
Attorney ... for Plaintiff
Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8th Complainant filed Warrant issued by Justice J. B. Murray
 " 11th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Cause set down for
 trial at 10. o'clock A.M. June 14th 1887 - Defendant held for
 his appearance on his own recognizance

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>Thomas Sloan</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>James Hinch</u>	Plaintiff	Action Account -
	Defendant	Demand \$25.00
		Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 9. Complainant filed Summons from Justice Court C. P. Miller
 11. Summons return showing personal service made & defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file him on the 11th
 day of June 1887 and filed —
 16th ~~Summons the defendant and pay the sum of \$24.00~~
~~as the action and a day of proceeding granted until~~
~~July 20th 1887.~~
 15. Wm. Clegg the defendant in person present and for
 answer shows each and every material allegation
 in the Plaintiff's Complaint sustained —
 20. His cause directed in full and dismissed —

J. A. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>Samuel Kuef</u> vs. <u>Lucius Hensz</u>	Plaintiff Defendant
--	----------------------------

Action Account -

Demand \$24.5⁰⁰

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 9. Complaint filed Summary issued against Court C. P. Wallin
 11. Summary returned showing process served on defendant
 Hensz with a copy of the complaint of file here on the 11th
 day of June 1887 and fine -
 " 15. Fine given to defendant and pay the sum of \$24⁰⁰ and
 is being granted a day of proceeding until June 28th 1887 -
 21. This cause dismissed in full and dismissed -

S. H. Wile J.S.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>John Soc & Michael Bee alias</u>	
<u>Thos. Haly & Wm. Brown</u>	<u>Defendant's</u>

Action <u>Burglary</u>	
Demand \$.....	
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff
	Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 9th Comptl and filed Warrant of Sum & Ct. Cor. C.H. Brown
 10. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against
 them - and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead
 guilty - and name the legal time for sentence -
 Defendants agree and are allowed to at once have
 the time -

S. H. Hill Jr.

No. 219.

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. With Bob - Defendant	Action disturbing the Peace
	Demand \$
	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

June 11. Complainant filed warrant against Court - C. P. Wallin
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises & pronounced plead guilty -
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$5.00 - Paid Court, \$2.50

S. H. Hill J. T.

In the Justices Court of (3^o) Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
R. Dato Defendant

Action Hurting the Peace

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 11. Complainant filed warrant - issued & given Const C.P. Walker
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
 and names the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid ~~fine~~ - Paid Court, \$25⁰⁰

S. H. Hill J.S.

In the Justices Court of P. Township,
 County of Tuolumne, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
v.s.
H. S. Burns Defendant

Action Turkey the Peas

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 11. Complain filed Tenant - from against Const. C. P. Haller.
 " June 12. The defendant and burried himself to the law -
 after which he was informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for defense
 defendant - from same Paid \$5⁵⁰ - Paid Const. \$2.25
 S. H. Hill J.S.

June
 Pay 94. The sum of five hundred dollars each of them -
 S. H. Hill J.S.
 Wm. A. Thompson - gave his bond for his appearance
 as the law allows - Bond affixed and filed -

1887, June 20 Handled the District Attorney the paper
 in this action this day June 20th 1887. S. H. Hill J.S.
 S. H. Hill J.S.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,
County of Mary, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Burglary</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>Daniel Malony John Cahill & Cahill</u>		<u>R. B. Gray.</u>
<u>A. Thompson and John Cahill</u>	<u>Defendants</u>	Attorney for Plaintiff
		<u>H. G. Gray.</u>
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 11. Complainant filed Warrant issued & given Police - G. W. McConnell
 " 13. Defendants Daniel Malony - John Cahill and A. Thompson
 in Court - and informed of the Charge against them and
 of their rights before the Law - Defendants - committed
 to the Sheriff of Mary County to stand Examination -
 " 14. This cause by Court set down for trial at 11.00 A.M. June 18th 1887 -
 " 15. 10. A.C. A.M. This cause by Court continued until 2 P.C. P.M.
 " 2. P.C. P.M. Defendants in Court - and - day they are ready
 for their Examination - The Court appointed P. J. Bushnell
 District Attorney for this cause

William Degen - sworn and examined as a witness for the
 People - A. Thompson - on application of the District attorney
 being one of the Defendants - the Court - ordering that the same
 A. Thompson be and he is fully discharged - that he may
 be a witness for the People - after which Daniel A. Thompson
 was sworn and examined as a witness for the People -
 E. Ballou and Wm. Thompson sworn and examined as
 witness for the People - Pure cotton Cloud -
 John Cahill - sworn and examined as witness for the
 defense - cause closed argued and submitted -
 The Court after having fully considered the same - and
 it appearing to me that the crime charged in the com-
 plaint on file herein has been committed - and that
 there is sufficient cause to believe the above named
 Daniel Malony and John Cahill guilty - witness I
 order that they be held to answer to the same and
 committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mary - and that
 they be admitted to bail in the sum of One Thousand
 dollars each of them - until they give such bail
 It is further ordered that A. Thompson and John Cahill
 Esq. being material witnesses on the part of the People
 enter into a written understanding to the effect that they will
 appear and testify at the Court - to which the depositions
 and statements are to be read - or that they will forgo - May - 93.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Mary, State of California.

<u>J. D. Morgan</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>J. W. Silva</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Writ of Habeas</u>
		Demand \$19. ³ / ₁₀
	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 11th Complainant filed Summons issue and give Plaintiff Affidavit for attachment made and file Writ certifying an attachment appears filed Writ of attachment issued in Plaintiff's name return showing personal service on the defendant registrant with a copy of the Complaint and file same on the 13th day of June 1887 and file —
 Writ of attachment executed returned and filed —
 This action created with the sum of \$27.⁰⁰ balance now due and unpaid — \$10.²⁵/₁₀ —

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Siskiyou, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>James Lumbur</u>	Action on Assault with a deadly weapon Demand \$..... Attorney..... for Plaintiff Attorney..... for Defendant
Plaintiff Defendant	

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 13rd Complaint filed warrant issued & given before Court J.S. Morgan
 15th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights before the law - Defendant committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Siskiyou to await
 his examination -
 " Cause set down for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. June 17th 1887 -
 Spoke Subpoenas for the People and defendant -
 17. 2 o'clock P.M. Defendant in Court - Witnesses Drury
 and Grammie pro and Con - Cause submitted -
 defendant discharged upon the ground that the
 evidence is insufficient to convict -

J.S. Morgan

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Young Sutliff - Defendant

Action Battling
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff.....
Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 13. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Court - J.A. Barker
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights before the law - Defendant pleads not
guilty - Cause set down for trial at 10 A.M. June 13th 1887
Issued Subpoena for the People and the defendant.
The cause by Consent of the defendant is continued
until 10. A.M. June 14th 1887 -

15. Defendant demands trial by jury - Warrant issued -
Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant -
M. O.C. & M. Defendant in Court - Warrant and Subpoena
returned and filed - Parties ready for trial -
Jury sworn to answer questions as to qualification
after which by Consent of Parties Wm. Jones was
sworn to try the cause - Witnesses sworn and examined
for and and Con - Cause submitted to
the Jury for their deliberation and verdict -
After a short retirement the Jury were returned
to Court by the proper officer of the Court with
the following verdict - We the Jury find
the defendant not guilty after which the defendant
was discharged also the Jury who tried him -

S. H. Hale J.S.

In the Justices Court of
County of Yerba, State of California.

(3) Township,

Action Burglary

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Cinches -
John Ben Bigley & John Ben alias
David Sampson Defendant

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 13. Complainant filed Warrant issued by Capt. Court: C.W. Marin
Cinches Bigley in Court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for
his examination in the sum of \$200. - Bond affixed and filed.
Defendant David Sampson in Court and informed of the
charge against him and of his rights in the premises. Defendant
committed to the Sheriff of Yerba County to await his Examination
Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C. P.M. June 14th 1887.
Special Subpoena for the People & defendant to the Court;
" 14. 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court - Now comes the District
Attay and unto the Court to discharge the defendants upon
the ground that the evidence against said defendants is
insufficient to sustain. Whereupon the Court ordered that
said defendants be and they are hereby discharged -

S.H. Hill J.P.

June 1887

July 99.

Cause to show why judgment should not be
pronounced against him -
Defendant filed his motion in arrest of judgment. Motion
denied. Defendant except - Defendant filed his motion
asking for another trial which was denied. Defendant except -
therefore by reason of the law and the premises opinion it is
ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you
W. R. Callin be and you are hereby fined in the sum of
fifty five and 54/100 dollars - and in default of the payment
of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed
to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Yerba and by said
Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County until
said fine is satisfied in the proportion of one day's imprisonment
for every dollar of said fine -

S.H. Hill J.P.

Defendant filed his notice and undertaking on appeal
to the Superior Court -

Proceeding affixed in the Superior Court - Aug. 24th 1887 - S.H. Hill J.P.
Yerba County Aug. 24th 1887 - S.H. Hill J.P.
Proceeding affixed in the Superior Court -

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

Action Malicious Mischievous -

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Soc. Gabay & John R. Cathlin Defendants
Gabey Behanell & W. R. Cathlin -
Defendant

Demand \$

R. B. Tracy
Attorney for Plaintiff
W. S. Tracy -
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 13 Complaint filed Warrant issued & sum Court C. P. Hallin -
Defendants Gabey Behanell and W. R. Cathlin in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead not guilty as charged
Court set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. June 20th 1887 -
Defendants held for their appearance in amount of fifty dollars each - Defendants deposited in Court the sum of one hundred dollars in Gold Coin equal to the amount required by the said Bail Bond -
Defendants demand trial by jury - issue issued - also witness or Subpoena for the People and defendants -
11. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court sum and Subpoena returned and filed - Jury sworn to answer questions as to gratification after which the following jurors were sworn to by the Court Trial Harry Austin S. H. Tracy G. H. Harris John Burns J. C. Hurd J. M. Cudl S. Hap L. B. Hopkins A. Hall Sam Shaffer C. Chisholm S. Brubey A. West - sum as interpreter - Justice Trials C. B. Harlan C. B. Morgan sum as witness for the prosecution On application of the district attorney - Defendant Gabey Behanell was discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict - Prosecution closed -
W. R. Cathlin and Gabey Behanell sworn and examined as witness for the defense - Case closed argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict - The jury after a short retirement were returned into Court with the following verdict - We the jury find the defendant W. R. Cathlin guilty as charged A. H. Austin foreman -
Defendant ordered to appear at 2. O.C. P.M. June 20th 1887.
2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and was the time for sentence. Therefor the defendant now appears for judgment and informed by the Court of the nature of the Charge against him and of his plea and the verdict of the Jury and was then asked whether he has any legal - See page 98 -

Argued and affirmed in the Supreme Court Aug. 22nd 1889 -
Defendant found guilty and sentenced to the State Prison for one year -
D. H. Hill J. J.

In the Justices Court of Z. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>W. S. Brady</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>Elizabeth Murray and</u> <u>A. B. Richardson</u> <small>Defendants</small>	<u>Action Account</u> <u>Demand \$20.00</u> <u>W. S. Brady</u> <small>Attorney for Plaintiff</small> <u>Attorney for Defendant</u>
---	--

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 16. Plaintiff filed Summons upon Court C. P. Wallin.
 Affidavit for attachment made & filed notwithstanding an attachment
 affixed and filed Writ of attachment issued against Court Wallin -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of State of California.

The People of California vs. Hop Lee -	Plaintiff Defendant
--	------------------------

Action Police Lawyer
Demand \$
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18. Complainant filed warrant issued & given by Ct. C. W. Marin
 vs. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and
 of his rights in the premises - Defendant pled not guilty -
 Defendant tried and acquitted - Evidence insufficient to convict
 S. H. Hill J. P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of *Madera*, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
William A. Lambot Defendant

Action *Balting* -
 Demand \$
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 17. Comptd and filed Warrant - issued & given Const C. P. Wallis -
 " Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against
 him and of his rights in the premises & pleaded guilty
 and made the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant - fined and Paid $\$50^{\circ}$ - Paid Const. $\$25^{\circ}$ -
 S. H. Hill J. Ct.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,County of Marysville, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
E. J. Hunt Defendant

Action bailable

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 17. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Court C. H. Greene
 2d. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 Recd. advice of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty
 Cause set down for trial at 3 P.M. June 20th 1887
 Special Subpoenas for the People and defendant -
 " 3. O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial -
 Witness sum and examined few and over - and
 cause submitted - The Court having fully considered
 the same - ordered that the defendant be discharged
 upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient
 to convict

S. A. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of Brown B. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>J. Bruni</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>A. Harlan</u>	Plaintiff	Action Account-
	Defendant	Demand \$22.00 per
		Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE. 1087

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18th Complaint filed -
 Dated 1st By one of Plaintiff this cause is being dismissed -
 J.P. for Plaintiff - J. H. Will Jr.

No. 233.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Madera, State of California.

J. Bruni Plaintiff
S.C. French vs. Defendant

Action account-

Demand \$27. 3/4

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1687

PROCEEDINGS.

June 16. Complaint filed -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Marin, State of California.

W. M. Hughes - Plaintiff
 vs.
 Charles Dwyer - and
 H. D. Patterson - Defendants

Action Replevin

Demand \$150 $\frac{00}{6}$

J. B. Gray -
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1847.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 18. Complaint filed on Plaintiff and serving - Summons issued
 affidavit and tender taking on Plaintiff and serving
 of process made - and given Court Clerk with directions -

In the Justices Court of (B.) Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Jack McSwigan and
Alex Madson & John Lee
 Defendant S.

Action disturbing the peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1687

PROCEEDINGS.

June 20. Plaintiff filed Warrant issued by Court C.P. Hallin
 " Defendant Alex Madson in Court and informed of the
 Charge against him and of his rights in the premises -
 Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence
 Defendant fined and paid \$5⁵² - Paid Court \$2⁵⁰ -
 " Defendant John Lee in Court and informed of the Charge
 against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendant
 pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence
 Defendant fined and paid \$5⁵⁰ - Paid Court \$2⁵⁰ -

27. Defendant Jack McSwigan in - S.H. Hill J.P. -
 Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his
 rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty to charge
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined & paid \$6⁵² - Paid Court \$2⁵⁰

S.H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of B.C. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

P. R. Banning vs. G. W. Woods	Plaintiff Defendant
-------------------------------------	----------------------------

Action Note of Hand
 Demand \$11.40
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

June 21st Complain filed Summons issued against Court - C. P. Waltnr -
 " Summons return showing formal service on the defendant -
 Agreed with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the
 20th day of June 1887 and filed -
 " 24th This Court doth declare in full and doth resolve -

J. H. Hill J.S.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Mary, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>Geo. Kohler</u>	Action <u>Battery</u> <u>Plaintiff</u> <u>Defendant</u>	Demand \$ <u>Attorney for Plaintiff</u> <u>Attorney for Defendant</u>
---	---	---

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 20th Complainant filed warrant issued by Court - C.P. Wallin -
 "Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights as the人民 complainant pleads guilty
 and names the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$5.50 - Paid Court \$2.50 -
S. H. Hill J.N.

In the Justices Court of B.^o Township,
County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
C. C. Harris Defendant

Action disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 21st Complaint filed Warrant of arrest issued & given Const. C.P. Waller

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

The History Company Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Ham

Defendant

Action 2. Promissory Note and Account

Demand \$107.75 per

Church & Co. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 22 Complain filed Summary issued by C. P. Waller
 " 25th Summary returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 24th day
 of June 1887 and filed -

30th In this action the defendant having been regularly served
 with process and having failed to appear and answer
 the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed
 by law for answering having expired the default of the
 said defendant in the premises having been duly entered ac-
 cording to law -

July 9th In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint
 herein - and the legal time for answering having expired and the
 default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly
 entered according to law - now at this day on application
 of Church & Co. attorneys for Plaintiff it is ordered that
 judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in
 accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on
 file herein -

" Plumper by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it
 is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff The History Company
 do have and recover of and from the said defendant C. H. Ham
 the sum of One Hundred and Seven and $\frac{7}{100}$ Dollars
 the amount of the principal and interest due for and
 the sum of six and $\frac{5}{100}$ Dollars costs necessarily incurred
 in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the United States -
 judgment for the principal and interest due for 107.91

" " Justice fees 4.50

" " " Constable 2.00

" Total amount of judgment \$114.41
 S. H. Hill, J.P.

Aug 17 Execution issued and delivered to C. P. Waller Constable
 Recd Sept 1st 1887 of Bill Creelman \$123.25 on his judgment
 and on garnishment of said B. Creelman

In the Justices Court of (3.) Township,
 County of Mary, State of California.

J. C. McCombs Plaintiff
 vs.
C. B. Quinn and
R. G. Burruino Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand \$175 $\frac{1}{2}$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 23rd Plaintiff filed Summons against Const. C. P. Walker
 July 5th Summon return showing personal Service on the Defendant
C. B. Quinn with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 23rd
 day of June 1887, in the City and County of San Francisco
 Summon return showing personal Service on the Defendant
R. G. Burruino with a copy of the Complaint on file here on 23rd
 day of June 1887 - at Mary County of Mary & filed -
 " In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 complaint on file here - and the time allowed by law
 for answering having expired the default of the said
 defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
 " In this action the defendants having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 complaint here and the legal time for answering having
 expired and the default of the said defendants having been
 duly entered according to law Now at this day
 on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged
 that the Plaintiff do have and receive of and from the
 said defendants the sum of One Hundred and Sixty-Eight
 and $\frac{1}{2}$ dollars - the balance due and unpaid on said
 Complaint on file here together with the interest thereon - and
 the sum of Twenty and $\frac{1}{2}$ dollars costs necessarily incurred
 in the prosecution of this action - all in gold Coin of the United States -

" Judgment for the principal and interest due for 164.65

" " " Justices fees 8.50

" " " Constab 6.15

Total amount of judgment \$181.30

S. H. Hill J.M.

Gave Plaintiff abstract of judgment -

In the Justices Court of 30 Township,County of Madera, State of California.

P. R. Banning Plaintiff
 vs.
 Chas. W. Busten Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand \$144.38/m-

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 23. Complain filed Summons upon the Hon. Court C. P. Walton -
 27. Summons returned showing Plaintiff and the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein
 on the 25th day of June at the 4th Township County of Madera
 California - and file this day -

July. 11. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for
 answering having expired the default of the said defendant in
 the premises is hereby duly entered according to law -

" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint herein and the legal time for answering -
 having expired and the default of the said defendant in the
 premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at
 this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered that
 judgment be entered herein against the said defendant
 in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint
 on file herein -

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is
 ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff P. R. Banning do
 have and recover of and from the defendant Chas. W. Busten
 the sum of One hundred and Ninety-five $\frac{3}{4}$ m - Dollars
 the amount of Principal and interest due on in this
 action and the sum of Thirteen and $\frac{5}{8}$ m Dollars Costs
 necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action -
 in Gold Coin of the United States -

" Judgment for the Principal due on 144.38
 " " Interest thereon - 45.68
 " " Justice fees 5.00
 " " Constable - 8.50
 " Total amount of judgment \$205.56

S. H. Hall J.M.

Acknowledged made the 2d day of July - 1888

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Merced, State of California.

W. Nancy Crane
 vs.
 C. Schmidt
 Plaintiff
 Defendant

Action Claim and delivery.

Demand \$100⁰⁰ - damages \$75⁰⁰

W. H. Martin -
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 W. B. Tracy -
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 24th Plaintiff - on Claim and delivery filed Summons
 issued and given Const C. P. Walton -
 Summons return showing personal service made on the Defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint - been filed on
 the 24th day of June 1887 and filed -
 Defendant - by his attorney W. B. Tracy filed his answer to
 the Plaintiff's Complaint - been filed -
 29. This cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. July 9th 1887 -
 30. Special Subpoena for the Plaintiff -
 Aug. 9th 10. O.C. A. M. Parties in Court - and main trial by Jury. Parties
 ready for trial - Nancy Crane, J. M. Hand, G. L. Garrison,
 H. P. Hedges, W. H. Martin, H. Mansure, L. J. Crane, Dunn
 and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff - Plaintiff's witness -
 C. Schmidt, Bernard Ross - Dunn and examined as witnesses
 for the Defendant; before Clerk cause argued and
 submitted until 1. O.C. P.M. July 11th 1887 - for the finding
 of the Court -
 11th 1. O.C. P.M. The Court having duly considered this matter, the
 evidence and argument of Counsel for the respective parties
 find for the Plaintiff, that she is the owner of the property de-
 scribed in the Complaint - herein - to wit: one bay horse about 11
 years of age - and that said horse is of the value of Seven-
 ty five dollars, and that said defendant - wrongfully & unlawfully
 detained the same from the Plaintiff, and that the same has not
 been delivered to the Plaintiff under this proceeding, but was held
 and retained by the defendant -
 It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff
 do have and recover of and from the defendant the possession
 of said property - to wit: one bay horse about Eleven years of age
 together with the sum of Eight dollars damages for the
 detention of said horse by defendant and for the sum of
 the costs of this action necessarily expended by Plaintiff -
 That is to say a delivery of said property cannot be had

See Page 145 -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

<u>Geo. A. Davis, S. M. Glavin and</u> <u>M. K. Laddan</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>H. J. Perry</u>	<u>Plaintiffs</u> <u>Defendant</u>	<u>Action Note of Hand</u> <u>Demand \$140. 50/-</u> <u>Church & Gay</u> <u>Attorneys for Plaintiff</u> <u>Attorney for Defendant</u>
---	---	---

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 24, Complaint filed Summons issued against Court - C. P. Waller -

SumPage 114.

Item in lieu of such delivery that the Plaintiff recieve of and from said defendant - the value thereof to wit the sum of twenty five dollars - and that a writ be issued herein to carry this judgment into execution -	
" Judgment allowing the possession of said property and if delivery cannot be had then for the value thereof. to wit:	75.00
" Judgment for the damages -	8.00
" Justices fees	8.25
" Constable " -	13.50
Writs - J. W. Hahn - one day one. m.	2.20
" H. P. Hedges - " " "	2.20
" G. Garrison " " 22- "	6.40
" Wm. Marks " " " "	6.40
" H. Mischum " " " "	6.40
" Total amount of judgment -	\$129.35
	S. H. Hill J. H.

Defendant allowed 5 days stay of the execution -

Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill \$46.35 -

6. Expenses of Sheriff, witness & labor of his own self \$12.00

1887
June 24

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma, State of California.

3.

D. R. Ganning Plaintiff

Plaintiff.

L. S. Winsell-
vs.

VS.

Defendant...

Action Not of hand

Demand \$ 94.07/cu

Attorney..... for Plaintiff...

Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 26. Complaint filed & summons issued against Carl C. P. Walton -
 27. Summons return showing service made & defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on
 the 25th day of June 1887 at the 4^d Judicial Court of
 Yuma California and filed this day -

July 11. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law
 for answering having expired the default of the said defendant
 in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law
 In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 complaint herein and the legal time for answering having
 expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises
 having duly entered according to law. Now at this day on
 application of the Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that
 judgment be entered herein against the said defendant
 in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff com-
 plaint on file herein -

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff J. B. Manning
 do have and recover of and from the said defendant S. S. Knott
 the sum of Ninety-four and $\frac{1}{2}$ cents the principal
 due on and the sum of Fifty-and $\frac{1}{2}$ dollars the interest
 thereon and the further sum of Three and $\frac{1}{2}$ cents
 the costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this
 action in Gold Coin of the United States -

Judgment for the principal sum on - 94-07
 " Interest thereon - 50.16
 " Justice fees - 5.00
 " Constable - 7.10
 Total amount of judgment - \$154.33
 S. H. Hill J.P.
 Abstract made & handed Anna - Preacher -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Tuolumne, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff vs. Neal Murphy Defendant	Action <u>Malice and Mischief</u> Demand \$ Mr. D. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	---

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 26. Counsel - filed warrant - issued by Justice Court - J. A. Weston
 Defendant - in court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights - in the premises - Defendant - filed for
 his appearance in a time of fifty - six days -
 appearance and filed -

" 30. This cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C.A.M. July 2nd 1887 -
 Special term and Dispenser and game law -
 Defendant - in court and pleads guilty - and waives
 the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$100 - Paid Court \$25 -
 S. H. Hill. J.S.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

		Action Account -
Albin & Baum	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 31. ²⁵ /100
vs. Ch. Hodgdon	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 27th Searched and filed Summons issued against Const C.P. Hallin -
 " affidavit for attachment made and filed. Notifying an attachment
 signed and filed Writ of attachment issued against Const C.P. Hallin -
 Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the
 27th day of June 1887 and filed -
 " Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -
 29th His cause paid in full and dismissed -

S.H. Hill J.M.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>P. R. Gannin</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Note of hand</u>
<u>J.W. Carrin -</u>		Demand \$9. 50 per
	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 27. Complain filed Summary issue by Clerk - C.P. Walton -
 Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 27th
 day of June 1887 - and filed -

This cause is dismissed by Plaintiff

S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

		Action Account -
Jesse Maron	Plaintiff	Demand \$20. 00 / 100
vs. Joseph Medley	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 28th Plaintiff filed Summons issued & given Court: C. P. Walker
 Aug. 18th Summons returned returnable January second term on the Superior
 regular with a copy of the Complaint on file hereunto
 18th day of July 1887 and filed -
 25th This cause is dismissed being bare cause in full -
S. H. Silo Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>The People of California -</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	<u>Action disturbing the Peace</u>
<u>vs.</u>		<u>Demand \$</u>
	<u>Defendant</u>	<u>Attorney for Plaintiff</u>
		<u>Attorney for Defendant</u>

DATE.

1937

PROCEEDINGS.

Jun. 26th Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Wallin -

In the Justices Court of B.C. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

		Action account
Frank Roller	Plaintiff	Demand \$62. ⁵⁰ /cc
B.W. Barlow	Defendant	C.G. Dyer Attorney for Plaintiff Walter D. Scott Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 29. Complain - filed Summary issue & in Court - C.P. Walker
 " affidavit for attachment made and filed Understanding an attachment
 signed and filed Writ of Attachment issued against Rollar
 " Summary returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint - on file here on the
 29th day of June 1887 and filed -
 Writ of attachment returned without service and filed -

July. 4th Defendant - filed his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint - herein -
 21. This Cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff attorney - on payment
 of the Justices fees and Court costs from J.S. for \$6.⁵⁰ Constable
 for \$4.⁵⁰ aggregating the sum of \$10.⁰⁰

S.H. Hill, J.N.

Oct. 27. Paid J.S. for \$5.⁰⁰

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Battig</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>John Hatch -</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
<u>Defendant</u>		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 29. Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given to Court House
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for trial. -
 Defendant finds and Paid $\$5^{50}$ Paid Court $\$2^{50}$
S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	}
<u>vs.</u>		
<u>John Golestan</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	

Action Battery
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney..... for Plaintiff
 Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 27. Complaint filed Yuma - issued & given Court C. W. Gearan
 July 1. This cause is dismissed -

S. H. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of *B.*
 County of *Mono*, State of California.
 Township,

<i>The People of California</i>	<i>Plaintiff</i>	Action <i>Petit Larceny</i>
<i>vs.</i>		Demand \$
<i>Con Regan - alias Geo. Ondar -</i>	<i>Defendant</i>	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 31. Plaintiff filed Warrant of Summons against Con C. P. Walter
 July 2. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads Not guilty
 and says he is ready for trial - witness examined for
 and Con - and cause submitted - The Court after
 having fully considered the same ordered that the
 defendant be discharged upon the ground that
 the evidence is insufficient to convict -

D. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3.
 County of Marin, State of California.
 Township,

The People of California	Plaintiff	}
vs.		
Geo. Collins	Defendant	

Action Nuisance

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 3rd Complainant filed warrant before the Court: C. P. Walton
 Sheriff in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Judgment fine and costs \$50 - Trial Court - \$25 - .

S. H. Will J. S.

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Trespass</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>L. McHie and John Briley</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
<u>Frank Higgins -</u>	<u>Defendants</u>	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 30th Complainant filed Warrant issued by Justice C. P. Haller.
 Defendant L. McHie in court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$5.00 - Paid Court \$2.50 -
 S. H. Hill -

July 2nd ^{Replevin} Defendant duly examined and discharged -
 Sept. 1st Defendant Frank Higgins - S. H. Hill J. S.
 in court and informed of the charge against him and
 of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$5.00 - Paid Court \$2.50 -
 S. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Fresno, State of California.

B.

Action Murder

Demand \$

R. B. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 John, Jim, Ed, Little Charly, Frank, Big
 Joe - Wily - Brad, & Lolly - (Indians) Defendants

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 30th Complaint filed warrant issued & given Court - H. S. Hill
 Defendants - in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights - in the premises - Defendants committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff to await trial examination etc
 6. This cause is set down for examination at 2. P.M. July 7th 1887
 7. D. O. C. P. M. Defendants - in Court and say they are ready for examination
 E. W. Rusby appointed Clerk - Clerk - Interpreter for this cause -
 Churchill Colborn - and Bill - Indian - appointed Interpreter
 Interpreter - Joe and Bill - Indian and examined as
 witnesses by the People - Prosecution closed - Defendants
 waived the introduction of any testimony - Cause closed and
 submitted. - The court after having fully considered the same
 and, it appearing to me that the offense in the
 Complaint on file herein has been committed and
 that there is sufficient cause to believe the above
 named Wily - an Indian, guilty thereof - I order that he
 be held to answer to the same - and committed to
 the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and that he be admitted
 to bail in the sum of One Thousand Dollars - and is
 committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno who is to
 give such bail -

H. S. Hill J.P.
 & Proffice Magistrate.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> Plaintiff vs. <u>Bon-Bill-Shutz-Jac-Ome-Eye-</u> <u>(Indians)</u> Defendant	Action <u>Murder</u> Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	---

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

June 3d. Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. W. S. Hill -
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights before the Court. Defendants committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff to await their Examination
 The cause set down for examination at 2. o'clock July 7th 1887
 At 2. o'clock P.M. Defendants in Court and day set aside for
 their Examination - C. H. Kirby appointed Sheriff and reporter
 for this cause - Churchill Calhoun and Bill (Graham)
 known as Interpreter - Jac and Bill (Graham) known and
 examined as witness for the People - Present in Court -
 defense offered no evidence - Cause submitted -
 The Court after having fully considered the same - Then
 being no sufficient cause to believe any of the above
 named defendants guilty thereof - & ordered them to be discharged

W. S. Hill J. S.
 The opinion may be read.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Marin, State of California.

The People of California vs. John Sedrane Defendant	Action	Misdemeanor
	Demand \$	
		Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 2^d Complainant filed Warrant upon Sheriff Capt. C. W. Marin
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights as the previous Defendant pleaded not guilty
 or innocent held for his appearance in the sum of
 Fifty Dollars for his appearance Bond offered & filed
 " This Court is dismisse —

S. H. Hill J.M.

Pay

131-

and the further sum of Twenty three and 75/100
 Dollars — Costs were incurred in the prosecution of
 this action in lawful money of the United States —
 Judgment for the Principal sum on 273.39
 " Justice for 7.75
 " Constable " 4.00
 " Juries 12.00
 Total amount of Judgment \$297.14

S. H. Hill J.M.

" Plaintiff filed this Cost bill. \$23.75/100 —
 Defendant allowed a day of proceeding for ten days —
 Defendant files his Notice and undertaking
 an appeal to the Supreme Court.

S. H. Hill - J.M.

Stipulation Statement and paper having the County
 Clerk. July 16^a 1887 —

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,County of *Mono*, State of California.*Jacob Zenger*

Plaintiff

M. Smith

Defendant

Action Account-

Demand \$273. 37/100

J. G. Rhodes -
Attorney for Plaintiff
W. S. Gray -
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 2. Complainant filed Summons issued against Defendant C. W. Grinnell
 Summons return showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the
 Day of July 1887 and filed -

4. Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint - on file herein -
 " This answer is set down for argument at 9 A.M. July 7th 1887 -
 Defendant rests ^{with} ~~with~~ ^{against} -

7. Plaintiff filed his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint - herein -
 Defendant demands trial by jury - same issued -
 The cause by consent of parties set down for trial at 9 A.M. July 8th 1887.

8. 9. O.C. & M. Parties in cause - were returned and filed
 Parties ready for trial - Jury sworn to answer questions
 as to guilty fixation - after which the following jurors
 were sworn to try the cause by consent of parties to wit:
Herman Lucy - *S. R. Shafner* - *Wm. Henry* - *G. H. Bernhard* - *A. B. Bunnells*
 and *J. W. Smith* -

J. D. Zenger - sworn and examined as witness for the
 prosecution - Exhibit A. & B. filed in evidence - *J. E. Jacob* sworn
 and examined as witness by the prosecution - Exhibit C. & D. E.
 filed in evidence - Plaintiff called direct -
M. Smith - *Robert Hall* - *R. Gold* sworn and examined as
 witness for the defense - Defendant called direct -
Eugene Ballou - sworn and examined - cause closed
 argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation
 and verdict - after a short retirement the jury were
 returned into court - by the proper officer of this Court with
 the following verdict to wit: "Verdict July 8th 1887. We the jury
 find for the Plaintiff for the sum of \$273. 37/100 and costs
G. H. Bernhard foreman -

" Whereupon by virtue of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff *Jacob Zenger*
 do have and recover of and from the said defendant
M. Smith the sum of One Hundred and Twenty
 three and 37/100 dollars the amount due on

See Page 130 -

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
 County of Fresno, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 Obashish vs.
 John Doe Kennedy Defendant

Action upon with a deadly weapon

Demand \$.....
 Attorney for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 2^d Complainant filed Warrant issued & no affiant -
 " 5^d Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge
 against him and of his rights in the premises
 Court set down for Examination at 10. O.C. A.M.
 July 6^d 1887 - Defendant committed to a waiting
 Examination -
 " 6. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and day before ready for
 Examination - The Court appointed E. M. Bush, Sheriff Lodi
 reporter for this Court -
 Frank Faust, John Miller and H. S. Johnson Dunn and
 examined as witnesses for the人民, Cleveland -
 Defendant Dunn and examined as a witness in his own
 behalf - Court Cleveland and Dubunitia. The Court
 took the same under advisement - until 3 O.C.P.M.

" " 3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. His being the hour
 fixed for the proceedings of the Court.

The Court having fully considered this Matter and
 there being no sufficient Cause to believe the
 above named Obashish Kennedy guilty of the
 offense above mentioned & order him to be
 discharged -

S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,County of Brown, State of California.The People of California

Plaintiff

Emidio Gervantez

vs.

Defendant

Action disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 14th Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Just. Court C.H. Marce

Aug 18 Defendant brought before Justice Philip Stewart acting & ^{Innocentes Servantes} for S.H. Hill J.P. stated his real name to be, ^{Innocentes Servantes} Emidio Gervantez - Waived the reading of the complaint and gave bail as ordered by said Justice in the sum of \$50 which is accepted and approved. Trial to be set by S.H. Hill J.P. and notice given Dept through J.N. Walker.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

Bertha Sholes vs, S. H. Griffith	Plaintiff Defendant	Action Note of hand Demand \$ 82. ⁰⁰ /m	J. V. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	----------------------------	---	--

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 5th Complainant filed Summary issue & give Plaintiff attorney -
 affidavite for attachment - made & filed understanding no attachment
 issued & filed - Writ of attachment issued & give Plaintiff attorney -
 By order of Plaintiff attorney this cause is dismissed -

S. H. Hill Jr.

We have the receipt of Plaintiff attorney that Plaintiff claim has been fully satisfied.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yurok, State of California.

Burtha Shukin -

Plaintiff

vs.
W. G. Griffith

Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand \$75.00

W. S. Tracy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

After the receipt of Plaintiff Attorney showing that Plaintiff claim his sum does satisfies
and payment or Constable fees as shown by receipt and do date as follows his day said this
13th day of August A.D. 1887 " at request of Plaintiff Attorney
Philip Stewart Justice of Peace acting as Justice of the Peace of the said

July 5th Complain filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff Attorney
Affidavit for attachment made and filed Undertaking or attachment
affixed and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff Attorney
Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th
day of July 1887. and filed -
Writ of attachment received returned and filed -
In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff
Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for
answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the
 premises is hereby duly entered according to law -
In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff
Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having
expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises
having been duly entered according to law, now at this
day on application of W. S. Tracy attorney for Plaintiff
it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against
the said W. G. Griffith in accordance with the prayer
of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein -

Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it
is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Burtha Shukin
do have and recover of and from the defendant W. G. Griffith
the sum of Sixty-five dollars the amount of the note due on
and the sum of Twelve dollars the interest on said note due on
and the further sum of Fifteen Dollars Costs necessary expended
in the prosecution of this action in said Court of the United States.

Judgment - for the amount of the note due on	\$ 75.00
" " " Interest thereon	12.00
" " " Justice fees	4.50
" " " Court costs	6.50
Total amount of Judgment -	\$ 102.00

B. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3^a Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>S. N. Griffith</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Replevin</u> -
<u>C. P. Hall</u> vs. Constable	Defendant	Demand \$150 " Summary \$100 <u>E. J. Griffith</u> Attorney for Plaintiff <u>H. C. Brady</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

July 5th Complain - on Claim and delivery filed summons issued
 Affidavit - and Undertaking made - by an Offt - attorney -
 summons return showing personal service on the defendant -
 to go to with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 5th
 day of July 1887 - and filed - affidavit - and undertaking
 defendant returned and filed - this day -
 9th Defendant - files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint - on file herein -

No. 265-

In the Justices Court of P. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

		Action Account -
P. L. Greelmann	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 25.75/ea
vs.		Attorney for Plaintiff
John Burnell	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 6^a Plaintiff filed Summary issue & in Court Clerk
 affidavit for attachment made and filed undertaking an attachment
 issued and filed Writ of attachment issue & given Plaintiff
 This Court is dismissed by order of Plaintiff J. A. for said
 S. H. Hale Jr.

In the Justices Court of B.C. Township,County of Mono, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Bally</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>Obadiah Kennedy</u>	Defendant	Attorney..... for Plaintiff..... Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16th Complainant filed warrant - issued & signed by Justice C. W. Mason
 Before me in Court and informed of the charge against
 you and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 "Defendant fined one Dolar ^{C.W. Mason} & paid Court \$6.50
S. H. Hale J.S.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,County of Madera, State of California.

J. A. Webster Plaintiff
 vs.
J. H. Cain & G. S. Rhodes Defendant

Action note of hand
 Demand \$125⁰⁰
H. B. Wilson
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 6. Complainant filed Summons issued against Court-C.P. Walker
 affidavit for attachment made & filed Writ of attachment on attachment
 affidavit filed. Writ of attachment issued against Court-C.P. Walker.
 Defendant - G.S. Rhodes was served of Summons and Copy of the Sum-
 mons return showing service on the defendant -
 J.H. Cain - together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein
 on the 13th day July 1887 and filed
 Writ of attachment Executed return and filed -
 This return is discharged by order of Plaintiff J. H. Hill J.D.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Sacramento, State of California.The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

John Kennedy

Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 7th. Complaint filed herein against John Kennedy C.W. Mason

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of State of California.

The People of California
 vs.
 On Gee -
 Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 7th Complain filed Warrant upon Capt. Const C. W. Guarn
 Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights in the person - Defendant pleads not guilty
 Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of
 \$50⁰⁰. Bond appears and filed -
 " Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of
 not guilty - and pleads guilty and many the legal
 men for Defense - Defendant pleads and Paid \$50⁰⁰ -
 Paid Const \$25⁰⁰ - Defendant is charged -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of P. Township,
 County of Mem., State of California.

The People of California vs. George W. King - Defendant	Action	Misdemeanor
	Demand \$	
	Attorney for Plaintiff	

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 7th Complainant filed Warrant issued by Capt. Carl Maran
 Paid 8th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises Defendant pleads guilty
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Sentence fixed and paid \$6⁰⁰ - Paid Capt. \$2⁵⁰
 S.H. Miller 8.87

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

Smahoo Emmus & Co vs. Philip Horn-	Plaintiffs Defendant
--	-------------------------

Action account -

Demand \$ 50. 84/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 7th Complainant filed Summons issued by Clerk C. P. Hallin - affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking or attachment signed & filed. Writ of attachment issued & filed by Clerk Hallin.
 8. This cause by order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed having been tried in full -

S. H. Hill, J. S.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

		Action account -
Bonaloo Emmons & Co -	Plaintiffs	Demand \$
Jac. J. Murphy		Attorney for Plaintiff W. S. Guppa
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 7. Complainant filed Summons issued against Com. C. P. Walton.
 " Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the complaint - no file being made on the 7th
 day of July 1887, and filed -

12. Wm. Corus the defendant - by his Atty W. S. Guppa and
 for answer comes the defendant and every material allegation
 in the plaintiff's complaint contained -

Aug 3d. This cause is set down for trial at 10. A.M. Sept 6th 1887 -

Def. 6. H. O. C. & M. Parties in Court and on application of the
 defendant - and by consent of the plaintiff this action
 and the trial stamp is hereby transferred to the Court of
 Phillip Stuart a Justice of the Peace of S. Township from
 County - and State of California -

S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Grand Jury</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>Frank Bitterman</u>	Defendant	<u>W. G. Tracy</u> Attorney for Plaintiff <u>W. H. & D. Hill</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 7. Complaint filed warrant issued against Court-C.P. Wallen
 Defendant on arraignment informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant held for
 his appearance for examination in the sum of One Thousand
 dollars bond affirmed and filed -

Dec. 20. This cause is dismissed for want of prosecution -
J. H. Hill

In the Justices Court of Co.
 County of Yuma, State of California.

The People of California	Plaintiff
vs.	
B. S. Jacobs	
	Defendant

Action <i>Disturbing the Peace</i>	
Demand \$	
	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

JULY 8th Complainant filed Warrant - issued against C. P. Walton
 D. F. Jacobs - defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises defendant pleads not guilty
 Can not stand for trial at 1. O.C.P. M. July 9th 1887 -
 " 1. O.C.P. M. Defendant in Court and days his ready future
 witness drawn and examined for and Con - Convened
 before the Court - after having fully considered the same
 orders that the defendant not be discharged upon the ground
 that the evidence is insufficient to convict -

S. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,
 County of *Burn*, State of California.

		Action Account -
<i>M. Smith</i>	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 297. ⁰⁰ /100
vs.		<i>J. G. Gray</i> Attorney for Plaintiff
<i>Robert Hall</i>	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July.

- 7. Complaint filed Summary issued & given Const. C.P. Wallin
officer for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
affixed and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const C.P. Wallin
- 11. Summary returned showing personal service on the defendant
together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day
of July 1887 and filed -
Writ of attachment executed returned and filed
- 12. This cause is dismissed - By order of Plaintiff - Const. Paul -
J. H. Hill J.M.

In the Justices Court of 3rd Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Bart Lewis - Defendant

Action Petit Larceny
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

July 8th Complain filed Warrant issued & given Court C. W. Guarn
 paid Caun disrupted - Evidence made through mistake -
 Att. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>C. A. Hamm</u>	Plaintiff
vs.	
<u>Frank Dilia</u>	
	Defendant

Action account -

Demand \$100. 00/00

Bruseth & Gray
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 8th Complaint filed -

This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff attorney -

J. H. Hill J. D.

In the Justices Court of

County of Sacramento, State of California.B.
Township,The People of California
Plaintiffvs.
George Allison

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 4th Complaint filed warrant issued & given by Hon. C. W. Brown
 9. Defendant in court - and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights on the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty -
 Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the sum of
 \$50^a Bond affixed and filed -

12th This cause is set down for trial at 10^o.c. P.M. July 13th 1887 -
 Given Subpoena for the People & Defendant -
 This cause is continu'd until 10^o.c. 1st M. July 14th 1887 -

In the Justices Court of B.
Township,

County of Yreca, State of California.

W. J. Bixby
Ex. People of California Plaintiff
vs.
A. Jack Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand \$ 25⁰⁰ & interest

R. H. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff
H. S. Bixby
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9. Complainant filed Summons issued against Court - C. P. Wallin
affidavit for attachment made & filed Under Tally or attachment
affidavit filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court - C. P. Wallin.
11. Demurrer returned denying personal service on the defendant
together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 2d
day of July 1887 and filed -
Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -
B. from Clerk do Subpoena - by his attorney H. S. Bixby - demands
to the Plaintiff Complainant on file herein and for demurrer denies
each and every material allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint - Entitled
16. This cause paid in full and dismissed -

S. H. Hill
J. D.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>W. J. Bistley -</u>	Plaintiff	Action Note of Hand
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$41 ⁰⁰ & interest
<u>Henry On Lucy -</u>	Defendant	R.B. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9th Plaintiff filed Summons issued & given Court C.P. Hallis affiant on attachment made & filed. Notifying on attachment appearance and file Writ of attachment issued & given Court Hallis. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 9th day of July 1887 and filed - Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -

11th In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having found the default of the said defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law -

In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff attorney R.B. Gray It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein -

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff W.J. Bistley recover and receive of and from the defendant Henry On Lucy the sum of Forty One and $\frac{75}{100}$ Dollars the principal and the interest thereon - and the sum of Twenty Dollars attorney fees - and the further sum of Fourteen and $\frac{10}{100}$ Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Gold Coin of the Government of the United States -

Judgment for the Principal and interest thereon - 41.75

1887. Expenses prosecute suit in Court -
" His Honor Judge - Total amount -

" " Attorneys fees -	20.00
" " Justices	8.50
" " Constable	8.60
Total amount of Judgment	\$ 78.15

S. H. Bickel, Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

		Action Account
<u>R. S. Palmer</u>	Plaintiff	Demand \$15 40/oo
<u>vs.</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
<u>Chas. Hadzdon</u>	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9. Complaint filed & summons issued & given Const C. P. Walker
 affiant for attachment made & filed undertaking on attachment
 affirmed & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const C. P. Walker.
 13th Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the complaint on file here on the 9th day
 of July 1887 and filed -
 Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed -
 This action is evidence by the sum of \$20.
 This cause tried in full and dismissed -

S. H. Hill J.

In the Justices Court of B.
 County of Brazos, State of California.
Township,

		Action Account
Harry Dawson	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 61 ³⁰ / ₁₀₀
vs.		H. C. Gray Attorney for Plaintiff
W. P. Bullard	Defendant	Nurs & Guy Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9th Complain filed Summons issued & given Court - C. P. Wallin
 affiant for attachment made & filed Writ taking an attachment and
 affixed and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court - Wallin.
 10th Defendant files his denial of all the allegations of the Plaintiff
 Complaint on file here.
 " Summons return showing service done on the defendant
 at B. judicial Township Brazos Court together with a copy
 of the Complaint on file here on the 12th day of July 1887 -
 Writ of attachment executed return and filed -
 Defendant files his amended Answer -
 also his affidavit and Notice of Motion -
 Motion set down for argument July 16th 1887 at 10. A.M.
 Defendant files his answer taking an action of
 attachment - certifying affidavit and filed -
 On application of the Plaintiff Harry Dawson, the Court
 appoints D. H. Hill his guardian ad litem for the
 purpose of this action - application of appointment filed -
 Plaintiff by his guardian ad litem files his affidavit as
 to pleader of cause -
 " The parties to this action agree and file their stipulation
 to try this cause before Phillip Stunt, Justice of the Peace
 B. Township Court of Brazos State of California - do and done -
 S. H. Hill J. P.
 26. Plaintiff Phillip Stunt a transcript and all the papers
 on file in this action -

S. H. Hill J. P.

In the Justices Court of (3rd) Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>John Evans</u>	Plaintiff Defendant
<i>Action Disturbing the Peace</i>	
Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant	

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 11. Complainant filed warrant against Court - C. P. Walker -
 pain 12. Complainant caused to be issued - he being in Court, and
 informed of his rights - in the premises defendant plead guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$5⁰⁰ - Paid Court \$2⁵⁰ -
 S. H. Miles J. J.

In the Justices Court of 3.
 County of Township, *Mesa*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Action	<i>bargainy</i>
	Demand \$	
<i>vs.</i>	Attorney	for Plaintiff
<i>Wm. Williams, Frank Martin Chr. Clark, Frank Martin and Geo. Harris -</i>	Attorney	for Defendant
<i>Defendants</i>		

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 11. Plaintiff filed Warrant issued against C. H. Martin
Baptist before me and informed of the charges against
 them and of their rights in the premises. Ex parte guilty as charged
 and named the legal time for辯護人
 Ex parte allowed until 9. A.M. P.M. July 11th 1887 - to
 leave the Town -

J. H. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Sherman, State of California.

	Action Account -
M. J. Binaux vs. Sherman Blair	Demand \$29.24/m
Plaintiff Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Church & Casy Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 11th Complain filed Summons issued by our Court - C. P. Waller
 affidavit-for attachment made & filed Undertakings on attachment
 appear and filed Writ of attachment issued by our Court - C. P. Waller
 12th Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the
 defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file hereon
 on the 11th day of July 1887 -
 Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -
 13. sum. Coming the defendant by his attorney Church & Casy -
 and files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein -
 This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff, J. S. Pur-land -

J. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Petit Larceny</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>John Doe -</u>		Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
<u>J. F. Santos -</u>	Defendant	Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 12 Plaintiff filed Warrant from Sheriff Capt. C. W. Mason
 " Defendant files bond in the sum of \$500. for his appearance
 16 in Court at 9 A.M. July 16th 1887 —
 " His Court is continued until 2 P.M. July 23rd 1887 —
 23. 2 P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial —
 Walks down and examined by Capt. C. W. Mason Sheriff —
 The Court after hearing fully considered the same and
 that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that
 the evidence is insufficient to connect —

J. H. Hill J.M.

In the Justices Court of (3^o) Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Burglary</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>Chas Gibson</u>	Defendant	Attorney ... for Plaintiff Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

July 12. Complainant filed warrant of arrest and gave it to Const. C. W. Green
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pled guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence.
 Defendant asked and allowed to leave the town.
 S. H. Bell J. P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of *Yerba*, State of California.

<i>Hulda Goldstein et al -</i>	<i>Plaintiff</i>	<i>Action account -</i>
<i>vs.</i>		<i>Demand \$42. 00</i>
<i>W. J. Hammash</i>	<i>Defendant</i>	<i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i>
		<i>Attorney for Defendant</i>

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 14. Complaint filed.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Mason, State of California.

		Action Account
<u>Katina Goldstein & Co -</u>	Plaintiff	Demand \$99.88/ea
<u>Jesse Morrow</u>	vs. Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 14. Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const C.P. Miller
 14. Summons returned showing service made on the defendant together
 with a copy of the Complaint on file herein - on the 18th day
 of July 1887 and filed -

" 25. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for
 answering having expired - the default of the said defendant
 in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law -

" 25. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint herein and the legal time for answering
 having expired and the default of the said defendant
 in the premises having been duly entered according to law -
 Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff it is ordered
 that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant
 in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint
 on file herein -

" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
 and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of
 Ninety Nine and $\frac{88}{100}$ Dollars the amount due on and the
 sum of ditto and $\frac{5}{100}$ for collecting the costs necessarily incurred
 in the prosecution of this action all in lawful money of the United States
 Judgment entered for the principal sum for 99.88
 " " " " Justices fees 4.50
 " " " " Constable - " 2.00
 Total amount of Judgment - \$106.38
 Dated this 1st day of August 1887
 Handed Plaintiff abstract of Judgment -

In the Justices Court of B: Township,
 County of Mary, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
John Hawthorn Defendant

Action Misdemeanor Injury
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 14. Complainant filed Warrant issued by Court C. P. Wallis -
 before him in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises Defendant pled Not guilty -
 Defendant asks and is allowed to have the time at-
 tenu -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Madera*, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
John S. Bohr-Smith. Defendant

Action *Malicious Mischief*
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 15th Complaint filed warrant issued by Court - C. P. Wallen.
 Rept.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 Samuel Jackson Defendant

Action Indictment
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. /1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb. 16. Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Court J.A. Barker
 returned by Hon. J.B. Campbell Superior Judge
 of Yerba County department No. 1
J.H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of

B.

Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California	Plaintiff
vs.	
Charles Heath -	
	Defendant

Action General Sarceny

Demand \$

R. Stang
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16th Complain filed Warrant from & given by Court C. W. Guerne
 App. " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant here for
 his appearance in the sum of One Hundred Dollars
 Bond affixed and filed -

18th This cause is set for trial at 2 o'clock P.M. July 20th 1887 -

" issued Subpoena for the People and defendant -

" 20th 2 o'clock P.M. Parties in cause subpoenae ready for trial - Wm. H.
 Guerne and examining for and Con - Cause argued and
 submitted - The Court after hearing fully considered the same
 ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the ground
 that the evidence is insufficient to sustain -

S. H. Hill. J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3.
 County of Sacramento, State of California.
 Township,

<u>The People of California</u>	Action	<u>Lawsuit</u>
	Demand \$	
<u>John Holcomb, Gc West-Ame</u>	Attorney for Plaintiff	
	Attorney for Defendant	

James Williams

DATE. (1889)

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16. Complainant filed Warrant - issued by Genl. Dist. Ct. C. W. Guara
 defendant in cause - and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - defendant pleads guilty
 and names the legal time for sentence -
 defendant asked and was allowed to have the time -

J. H. Hill, J. S.

In the Justices Court of

J.

Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

Frank Belle -

Plaintiff

vs.
Charles Seeger

Defendant

Action Possession of Premises and

Demand \$72.50 per

C. G. Dargh

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm. & S. Dargh

Attorneys for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16. Complainant filed Summons issued against Court - C. P. Waller.
 18. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 16th day of July 1887 and filed -
 21. Defendant files his answer and Cross Complaint -
 22. Plaintiff files his demurrer to defendant's Cross Complaint -
 " Summons set for answer
 25. 10. A.C. 8. M. Summons
 took the same
 " Court
 " summons
 " Cross Demur
 29. The Court
 their own
 " This Court
 10. A.C. 8. M. summons ready for trial and
 man trial by jury - Jury and judge called in order
 Frank Belle summons examined for the Plaintiff - Plaintiff
 rest does - Defendant - need the Court to grant a motion,
 which agreed and submitted - The Court took the same
 under advisement until 1. O.C. P. M. Sept 14th 1887 -
 14. 1. O.C. P. M. Parties in Court - The Court having fully considered
 Defendant Motion for Judgment - now examine the same Defendant
 Espey - Exhibit A filed in evidence by Espey and Charles Seeger
 C. H. Shultz sum as interpreter for Espey and J.W. Broderus Espey
 as witness for the defendant. Court agreed and submitted,
 The Court took the same under advisement -

Oct. 8. 11. O.C. A. M. The Court having fully considered this matter -
 " wherein by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged
 that the defendant do have and receive of and from the Plaintiff a judgment
 for the cause of this action, taxed at \$28.85 per Justice for \$7.75 per Court
 \$14.85, This Bidding - 2. day - as noted - \$400 - Frank Bell - 4. day -
 2. M. \$22.50 - Total amount of judgment - \$28.85

S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.


<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Larceny</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>John Holcomb, Geo West - and</u> <u>James Williams</u>	Defendant	Attorney..... for Plaintiff Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. (1889)

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16. Complainant filed Warrant - issued by Hon. Justice C. H. Guara
 Informant - in Court - and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights - in the premises - Defendants plead guilty
 and name the legal time for sentence -
 Defendants asked and was allowed to have the time -

J. H. Hill, J. S.



In the Justices Court of

J.

Township,

County of Madera, State of California.Action Person or Persons andFrank Belle -

Plaintiff

vs.
Charly Seeger

Defendant

Demand \$72.⁵⁰/acC. G. Dugay

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm. & S. Shinn

Attorneys for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 16. Complainant filed Summons issued against Court - C. P. Wallen.

18. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 16th day of July 1887 and filed -

21. Defendant filed his answer and Cross Complaint -

22. Plaintiff filed his demurrer to defendant's Cross Complaint -
Summons set for argument at 10. A.C. A.M. July 25th 1887 -

25. 10. A.C. A.M. Summary agreed and submitted - The Court took the same under advisement -

" Caused me - down for trial at 9. A.C. A.M. July 30th 1887 -

28. Given Subpoena for the Defendant -

29. The court after having fully considered the summons on file herein caused the same -

" This cause by Consent of Parties is continued until Sept. 11th 1887 - 9. A.C. A.M.

Sept. 10. 10. A.C. A.M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial and mean trial by jury - Give and have Notice filed in accordance Plaintiff Frank Belle sum and examined for the Plaintiff - Plaintiff rest does - Defendant - caused the Court to grant a motion, Motion argued and submitted - The Court took the same under advisement until 1. O.C. P.M. Sept 14th 1887 -

" 1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court - The Court having fully considered Defendant's Motion for Judgment - now examine the same Defendant - Exposes - Exhibit A. filed in evidence by Plaintiff and Charly Seeger C. H. Shinn sum as Interpella. for Defendant J.W. Brinkley given as a verdict for the defendant. Cause argued and submitted. The Court took the same under advisement -

Oct. 8. 11. O.C. A.M. The Court having fully considered this matter -

" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and receive of and from the Plaintiff a judgment for the cause of this action, taxed at \$28.⁸⁵/ac - Justice fees \$7.⁷⁵/ac - Costs \$14.⁵⁰/ac - His Expenses - 2. day - as noted - \$4.⁰⁰ - Plaintiff Brinkley - 4. day - 2. M. \$2.⁵⁰ - Total amount of judgment \$28.⁸⁵

J. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of

B.

Township,

County of Brent, State of California.B. W. Doyle

Plaintiff

vs.

M. Bawgi

Defendant

Action account - for baiting -Demand \$13.⁰⁰/per

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 18th Complain-file Summons issued against C. P. Walton
 Summon returned Sheriff Personal Service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the
 18th day of July 1887 and filed -

" 30th In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the
 Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed
 by law for answering having expired the default of the
 said defendant in the premises is hereby strictly entered
 according to law -

" In this action the defendant having been regularly served
 with process and having failed to appear and answer the
 Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for
 answering having expired - and the default of the
 said defendant in the premises having been entered
 herein according to law - Now at this day
 on application of the Plaintiff in pro se person it is
 ordered that judgment be entered herein against the
 said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said
 Plaintiff Complaint on file herein -

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff B. W. Doyle
 do have and recover of and from the said defendant
 M. Bawgi the sum of Thirteen Dollars the amount due for
 and the further sum of six and 50/100 dollars the costs
 necessarily expended in this action - in lawful money of the
 United States -

Judgment for the principal sum for	13.00
" " " " " <u>Justia fees</u>	4.50
" " " " " <u>Courtly</u> "	<u>2.00</u>
Total amount of Judgment -	\$19.50

S. H. Hill, J.P.

In the Justices Court of

3.

Township,

County of Bromo, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff
<i>vs.</i>	
<u>Miriam Gonzallas</u>	Defendant

Action Turting the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney ... for Plaintiff

Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 19. Complaint filed warrant issued & given Court. C. P. Haller
Reps. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights as the人民. Defendant pleads not guilty -
 Cause examined and left. discharged -

S. H. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Carrie Davis - Defendant

Action Petit Larceny
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. /667

PROCEEDINGS.

July 19th Complainant filed warrant of arrest against Def. Carr. C. W. Davis
 20th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her
 and of her rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded not guilty.
 Defendant deposited in Court \$50⁰⁰ for her appearance
 for trial -
 Defendant held to answer on her own recognizance -

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,County of *Yuma*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff	Action <i>Burglary</i>
<i>vs.</i>		Demand \$
<i>William Hite</i>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 19. Complainant filed warrant of arrest against Policeman W. H. Harris
 Rept. 20. William Hite in Court and was informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - before court pled guilty -
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 defendant permitted at his own request to leave the time -
 granted - by the Court -

S. H. Hill, J. S.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

		Action Account -
S. W. Henry	Plaintiff	Demand \$46. ⁵³
vs.		
Jane Fanning -	Defendant	W. S. Tracy - Attorney for Plaintiff C. C. Merriman Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 21. Complaint filed Summary issue & in Court J.A. Barker
affidavit for attachment made & file. Undertaking on attachment
affixed & file. Writ of attachment issued & in Court J.A. Barker
21st Summary return showing personal service on the defendant
together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day
of July 1887 and filed -
Writ of attachment executed and filed -

23. Now Comes the defendant in proper person and for answer
denies each and every material allegation in the
Plaintiff Complaint Contained -

25. This cause set down for trial at 9 A.M. Aug 1st 1887 -
Notice to defendant - Executive return and filed -
Case continued until Aug 8th at 10 am before Justice Philip Stewart presiding for \$24.75 & 80.
Parties came before Court and defendant by his Attorney C.C.
Merriman filed and amended answer and offer to allow
judgment in the sum of \$10 and accrued costs which
offer is accepted by Plaintiff in open Court.
Wherefore judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff
S.W. Henry and against Defendant Jane Fanning for the
sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) and costs of suit as follows
Justices fees \$10.²⁵ Constable fees \$6.⁷⁵ Total Cost \$16.85
total judgment - \$26.⁹⁵.

Done in open Court this 1st day of August 1887Philip Stewart Justice of the Peace
presiding for S.H. Hill J.P." 8 Judgment paid in full and duly satisfied this 8th day of
August 1887.

Philip Stewart J.P. presiding for S.H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>S. P. Baclay</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>note of hand</u>
vs.		Demand \$100 ⁰⁰ & <u>Interest</u>
<u>C. H. Hamm</u>	Defendant	<u>James D. Tracy</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 21st Complaint filed -

This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff attorney.

S. A. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of 3^r Township,
 County of Humboldt, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>Lizzie Mary & Janie Mitchell</u> <small>Defendant</small>	Action <u>Misdemeanor</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Attorney..... for Plaintiff
	Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

July 20. Complaint filed warrant issued & given before Court C. W. Grader
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them
 aware of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead guilty
 and waive the legal time for trial -
 Defendants find and pay \$5⁰⁰ each - Paid Court \$5⁰⁰ S. H. Hill J. S.
^{partly}

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Siskiyou, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Bill Wilson, May Winston
Mary Cardens & Alice Stanley Defendant

Action Misdemeanor
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff.....
Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 20 Complaint filed Warrant issued, given Just-Court Bureau
Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
and of their rights in the premises - Defendant Alice Stanley
pledged guilty and named the legal time for sentence -
Defendant Alice Stanley fined and paid \$5-50 - Paid Court \$12-50 -
Defendants Bill Wilson, May Winston and Mary Cardens - pled
not guilty - defendants held to answer and appear for
trial in the sum of Fifty dollars each -
Bill Wilson & May Winston ask to withdraw their plea of not
guilty and to plead guilty and to name the legal time
for sentence -
Defendants fine and paid \$5-50 each - Paid Court \$5-00

" 21. Defendant - Mary Cardens - file her bond for her appearance
for trial in the sum of Fifty Dollars - and withdraw all an
order in the hands, W.H. Smith the sum of \$200 deposited for her
appearance - Being with drawn W.H. Smith -

J. H. Will Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yuma, State of California.

Louis Einlein & Co - vs. John Hurn _____	Plaintiff	Action <u>Rate of interest</u> Demand \$ 25 ⁰⁰ & interest - Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
	Defendant	

DATE, 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 21st Complaint filed Summary issued and given Court C. P. Walker
 " affidavit for attachment issued and filed Writ of attachment issued and given Court C. P. Walker -
 " Sheriff returned Sheriff personal Service on the Defendant
 " together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 21st
 day of July 1887 and filed -
 Writ of attachment received returned and filed -
 " By order of the Plaintiff this cause is discontinued having
 been paid in full -

S. H. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,County of *Madera*, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff	Action <i>burglary</i>
<i>vs.</i>		Demand \$
<i>James Carlton -</i>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

July 21. Complain - filed Warrant issued & given Court - C. P. Wallin -
Repp - " Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant - plead guilty -
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant - allowed at once to hear the time -

S. H. Hill J. M.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 A. Shantz, A. Lang & H. Sacen Defendants

Action Smuggling Opium

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

W.D. Grady Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 21. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court C. P. Walton.
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Defendants plead not guilty -
 Defendants held for their appearance for trial on a bond
 of Fifty dollars, cash aggregating the sum of \$150. -
 Bond affirmed and filed -

August 1 Motion made by W.D. Grady of Defendants Counsel
 that complaint be dismissed on the grounds that the same
 did not constitute a cause of action. Motion argued, and
 this Court having considered the matter it is hereby ordered
 and adjudged that the complaint be dismissed.

Done in open Court this first day of August A.D. 1887

Philip Stewart
 Justice of the Peace
 Presiding for S. H. Will J.P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

M. Russell vs. William Shubridge	Plaintiff Defendant	Action <u>Pick of hand</u> Demand \$25 ⁰⁰ to Justice - Martin & Dyer Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	----------------------------	--

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 21st Complaint filed Summary issued against Court C. P. Walton
 affiant - for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
 affirmed and filed. Writ of attachment issued against Court C. P. Walton.
 28th Summary returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 27th
 day of July 1887 and filed -
 " Writ of attachment executed returned and filed -

Aug 6 On motion of plaintiff the above action is hereby
 dismissed the plaintiff having satisfied plaintiff's
 claim with accrued costs.
 Done in open court this 6th day of August A.D. 1887

Def. 4. J.P. for Brie by. Martin & Dyer - Philip Stewart
 Justice of the Peace
 presiding for S. H. Yerba
 J.P.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Siskiyou, State of California.B.The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

R. A. Mackel

Defendant

Action Smoking Opium

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

July 22 Complainant Warrant issued & given Court J.A. Burke
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the hearing - Defendant pleads guilty
 and remanded the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid \$5.00 Paid Court, \$2.00.

S. H. Telle Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Yuma, State of California.

José María Seleaza vs. Joaquín Feliz -	Plaintiff Defendant	Action <u>Claim and delivery</u> Demand \$ 80 ⁰⁰ Bruce Cain Attorney for Plaintiff W. S. Gray Attorney for Defendant
--	------------------------	--

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 22 Complain - on Claim and delivery filed - Summons issued and given Court - J. H. Barker - affidavit and undertaking made and given Court - Barker -

23. Now comes the defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint - Cautions -

- 1. Stipulated and filed his amended answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint
- 2. His cause by cause of parties set for trial at 9 A.C. A.M. July 28th 1887
- 3rd By Consent of Parties his cause is set for trial at 10 A.C. A.M. Aug 4th 1887.
- 4. Filed Subpoena and cause Ex parte attorney -

Aug 4 Parties appeared before Justice Philip Stewart presiding for and at request of Justice S. H. Hill absent from the Township, and declared themselves ready to proceed with the trial, the following witnesses were sworn and examined on part of plaintiff viz: J. M. Seliza A. Carrillo, Jose Leona Flores, Jesus Kivis; on the part of defendant there was sworn and examined Joaquin Feliz, Jesus Savare, Leone Alvaro. It was stipulated and agreed between counsel that Bruce Cain Piffs Attorney have not exceeding one week in which to send to Merced for certified copy of bill of sale of property in question said to have been executed by Dept to A. Carrillo and if the same is received by Court within time stated that it be received in evidence as fully as if offered on the trial of this action. Case argued and submitted. Bill of sale in question received during time stipulated and considered with other evidence by the Court.

.. 13 The Court having duly considered the evidence finds that Plaintiff is the owner and entitled to the possession of the property described in Plaintiff's complaint, and that the value thereof is \$100.
 Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do recover and this Court does order and adjudge that Plaintiff José María Seleaza do recover of the defendant Joaquin Feliz the possession of the land by more the property described in said complaint or for the sum of \$100 the value thereof.

(See continuation next page - 182)

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

		Action Account -
<u>Martin Maceron</u>	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 22. 72/100
<u>J. H. Whistler</u> ^{vs.}	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 22. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. C. P. Hallin.
 Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment
 affirmed and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. C. P. Hallin.
 23. This action dismissed having been paid in full -

S. H. Hall J.P.

(Case of Jose Maria Selvaz vs Joquin Felez - Cont'd.)
 in case a delivery cannot be had together with his costs
 taxed as follows Justice fees \$10. 35 Constables fees \$24. 00
 Witnesses fees \$18. 20 Total Costs \$ 52. 45 for which
 Judgment is hereby entered this 13th day of August 1887.
 Done in open Court this 13th day of August 1887.

Philip Stewart Justice of the Peace
 presiding for and at request of
 S. H. Hall J.P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,

County of Yerba, State of California.

Action account for Laih

Joseph Herber

Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Wahl

Defendant

Demand \$212.50

H.S. Hill

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 22. Complaint filed - Now Comes the Plaintiff and says ipsoe
 of Summons and acknowledge Service of the same - and
 Covenants that judgment be entered against him herein.
 Wherefore by reason of the same and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff Joseph Herber
 do him and recover of and from the Defendant Louis
 Wahl the sum of Two hundred and Fifty and 50/100 dollars
 the amount admitted by the defendant as being now
 due and unpaid to this Plaintiff and for the sum of
 Three dollars - Costs necessary expended in the prosecution
 of this action in lawfully way of the United States -
 "Fees" - for the Plaintiff - 212.50

" Justices fees 3.00
 Below amount of fees - \$215.50
 S.H. Hill J.P.

" Execution issued and given Const. Wahl -

27. The above Judgment Credit will the sum of \$114.55 -
 leaving a balance now due and unpaid of \$98.45 -

S.H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Burglary</u>
vs.		Demand \$.....
<u>Boon Hays</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
		Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 22. Complainant filed Warrant of拘捕 against Policeman W.H. Harris
 Plaintiff " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and
 of his rights on the premises - Defendant deposited in Court \$20⁰⁰ -
 for his appearance for trial -

23. Defendant in Court and pleads guilty as charged -
 Defendant allowed to pay \$6⁵⁰ - and be discharged from Court \$2⁵⁰
 D.H. Miller - J.P.

In the Justices Court of 3^o Township,
 County of Brown, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>John Brown & John Evans</u>	
	<u>Defendant</u>

Action Pazgrancy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 23. Plaintiff filed Warrant issued by Corst. C. P. Walker
 Defendant - in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of their rights before the law Defendant pled guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence —
 Defendant allowed at once to leave the time —

S. H. Hill, Jr.

In the Justices Court of B.^o Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>John Lee - Robin Clark</u> <i>Defendant</i>	Action <u>Misdemeanor</u>
	Demand \$.....
	<u>R. B. Bux</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 23 Complainant filed Warrant issued by Genl. Ct. C. W. Burn
 257 Subpoenaed in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded Not
 guilty as charged - Defendant deposited in Court the sum
 of \$80⁰⁰ for his appearance for trial -
 Court set for trial at 3 o'clock P.M. July 25th 1887 -
 2. o'clock P.M. Defendant in Court - Parties were ready for trial by jury -
 ready for trial - Witness sworn and examined for the prosecution
 and Cross Examined - The Court after hearing fully
 consider the same ordered that the defendant be given
 his or her trial of his charge upon the grounds that the evidence
 is sufficient and to convict -

S. A. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of *Brown* Co. Township,
 County of *Brown*, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 Nick Petrich Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 24. Complainant filed warrant against Court C. P. Waller -
 " 25. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant deposited in
 Court the sum of \$2.50 for his appearance for trial -
 " Defendant in Court and pleads guilty and waives
 the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined & paid \$5.00 - Paid Court, \$2.50 -
 J. H. Hill J. P. -

In the Justices Court of <sup>3^o Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.</sup>

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
John Soc. And Richard Rosales.
M. S. Stathen & James McElroy
Ben Wilcox & Joe Mackay Defendant 3

Action Battery
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Ju^g. 24. Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Court - C. P. Patton -
 25. Defendants, in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Defendants - pleaded not guilty -
 27. Defendants - Joe Mackay - in Court and informed of the charge
 against him and of his rights in the premises - Defendants - pleaded not guilty.

In the Justices Court of Township,
 County of State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 Ah Boow. Ah He- One Boow
 Ah Hoo- Ah Charly & Ah Guay-
 DefendantS.

Action Malicious Wounding

Demand \$

B. T. Bury
 Attorney - for Plaintiff
 W. H. Bury
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 25th Complainant filed Warrant issued by Court J. A. Barker
 Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead not guilty
 Defendants held for their appearance in a bond of \$500 each
 aggregating the sum of \$300. —
 This Court sat for trial at 9.00 A.M. July 28th 1887 —
 26. Formal Subpoena for the People & your Court Barker
 27. 11.00 A.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for trial
 D. Littlefield - A. Graham and H. G. Elkholt - sum and sum
 cited as witness for the People Prosecutor - Cleve -
 Ah Hee. Ah Charly and Sam Lee sum and examined as
 witness for the defendants upon Cleve - Cause argued
 and submitted - The Court after hearing the evidence
 and having fully considered the same - find that Ah Hee
 and Ah Charly are guilty as charged in the Complaint
 on file here - and that Ah Boow. One Boow. Ah Hoo
 and Ah Guay be discharged upon the ground that
 the evidence is insufficient to convict said defendant -
 It is further ordered that Ah Hee and Ah Charly appear
 for sentence - at 11.00 A.M. July 29th 1887 —
 29. 11.00 A.M. Defendants in Court - this being the time fixed for sentence
 Defendants informed of the nature of the charge against them -
 and of their plea, and was asked if they have any legal
 sum to show why judgment should not be pronounced
 against them —
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is
 ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ah Hee
 and you Ah Charly be and you are hereby fined each of you
 to the sum of 22. 80¢ - aggregating the sum of \$45. 60¢ - —
 Defendants at our said said fine paid into Court and were
 thereupon discharged - Paid Court J. A. Barker - \$39. 89 -
 S. H. Webb J.P.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Jane Sac. Bush - alias
Amelia Foster & Etta Davis - Defendant

Action Making Mischief

Demand \$.....

Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....

Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 25. Complaint filed Warrant - issued by Court - C. P. Mullin.
 26. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Defendants - plead not guilty
 Cause examined and - Dismissed -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
John Smith & W. McCann
and John Wilson Defendants.

Action Pregnancy-
 Demand \$.....
 Attorney for Plaintiff.....
 Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26. Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Court C.P. Hallin -
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead guilty
 and waive the legal time for sentence -
 Defendants allowed to at once leave the time -

S.H. Steele for

In the Justices Court of B.^a Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Petit Larceny</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>Willie Brown -</u>	Defendant	<u>R.B. Terry</u> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1457.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26th Complainant filed warrant issued by Sheriff B.H. Gillespie before me in court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights in the premises - Complainant pleads guilty and waives the trial by jury for sentence whereupon by reason of the law and the terms aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for sum of ten dollars you Willie Brown be and you are hereby fined in the sum of Thirty dollars - and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Burn and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County jail of said County - in the proportion of one day's imprisonment for every dollar of said fine -

S.H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Sacramento*, State of California.

		Action <i>Post Larceny</i>
<i>The People of California</i>	Plaintiff	Demand \$
<i>vs.</i>		<i>W. G. Bush</i> Attorney for Plaintiff
<i>Edward White alias</i>		<i>W. S. Tracy</i> Attorney for Defendant
<i>W. C. White</i>	Defendant	

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26. Complainant filed Warrant issue & given Court C.P. Waller.
 26. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads not guilty.
 Defendant held for his appearance for trial in a sum of
 Two Hundred Dollars - Bond offered and filed -
 This cause is set for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. July 29th 1887 -
 Special Subpoenas for the People & Defendant - and given Court
 29 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and then asks to withdraw
 his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty - and also
 raises the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced
 now - Thereupon by reason of the law and the premises above
 is declared and adjudged that for said offense that you
W. C. White be and you are hereby fined in the sum of
 Fifty dollars - Defendant at once paid into Court the
 sum of \$50. The amount of said fine was thereupon
 dis charged - Paid Court \$46⁶⁶ -

8th June, 1887

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>By Lee -</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Misdemeanor</u>
	<u>Defendant</u>	
		Demand \$.....
		<u>A. S. Gruber</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE. 1487

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26. Complaint filed & warrant issued against Const. C. P. Hallin.

No. 323.

In the Justices Court of P. Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Misdemeanor</u>
vs.		Demand \$.....
<u>Erny Fuerk</u>		Attorney ... for Plaintiff
	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26th Complaint filed Warrant issued to give Const C. P. Walker

In the Justices Court of Ba Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <u>vs.</u> <u>A. D. Drury</u>	Action <u>Writ of Habeas Corpus</u>
	Demand \$.....
	Plaintiff Defendant.....
	Attorney <u>H. B. Gaffey</u> for Plaintiff
	Attorney <u>for Defendant</u>

DATE. /1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26th Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Walker.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> vs. <u>John Lee & Michael Lee alias</u> <u>Dave Duck</u>	Action <u>Misdemeanor</u>
	Demand \$.....
	<u>P. G. Bush</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 26. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Corr. C. P. Walker.
 " " Defendant appeared before Justice Blair Stewart in absence of Justice Still, stated his real name to be Dave Duck and gave bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars. Having the reading of the complaint asked to be allowed to plead at such time as should be set by Justice Still.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Sac- Defendant

Action Grand Jury
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 27th Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Court C. P. Walton.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *Madera*, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
 Cee Buck Defendant

Action *Carrying on a lottery business -*

Demand \$

H. G. Bupp
 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 27. Plaintiff filed Warrant issued & given Const C. P. Wallin
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads Not guilty
 Defendant held for his appearance judicial in a Bond
 of One hundred dollars. Bond affirmed & filed
 28 Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty
 and to plead guilty - and waives the legal time for sentence
 Defendant finds and Paid $\$6^{50}$ - Paid Court $\$2^{50}$ -
S. H. Shree J.S.

In the Justices Court of B.
 County of Sacramento, State of California.
 Township,

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Petit Larceny</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>John Wilson and Dan McGinnis</u>	Defendants	Attorney..... for Plaintiff Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

July 28 Complain filed Warrant issued & given Court: C. P. Walker.
 Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises. Defendants plead Not guilty
 and day they are ready for trial - Opened Subpoena for
 witness returnable forth with. Subpoena returned & given
 Frank Bullock and Wm. Begun sworn and examined
 as witness for the People Court continu'd until 9.00 A.M.
 July 29th 1889 —

29. 9.00 A.M. Court adjourned —

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Marysville, State of California.

<u>C. C. Harris</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Right of Habeas</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$23 ⁰⁰ - & Interest -
<u>S. M. Brooks</u>	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 28. Complainant filed Summary issue & given Court. C. P. Wallin
 Affidavit for attachment made & filed undertaking on attachment
 approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court C. P. Wallin -

In the Justices Court of B.C. Township,
County of Marysville, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
Geo. Anderson Defendant

Action Insurance
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 31st Complainant filed Warrant issued by Court C. P. Hallin.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Fresno, State of California.

The People of California vs. Dan Murphy - Mike O'Neil - and Thomas Smith - Plaintiff Defendant S.	Action	Burglary
	Demand \$	

Attorney ... for Plaintiff

Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

July 30. Complainant filed warrant - issued by Genl. Court. J.B. Morgan
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty
 and name the legal time for sentence -
 Defendants allowed at once to leave the room -

S.H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of (B.) Township,
County of Brown, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> Plaintiff vs. <u>Thomas Star</u> Defendant	Action	<u>Grand Jury</u>
	Demand \$	<u>P. G. Tracy</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		<u>P. G. Tracy</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 21st. Complaint filed warrant issued in Sheriff O. J. Mack
 25th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff to await his examination
 27th This cause is set down for trial at 11. o.c. A.M. Sept. 1st 1887
 30th Special Subpoena for the People -
 Sept. 1st By Consent of defendant the Examination of this cause is
 continued until 10. o.c. A.M. September the 5th 1887 -
 5th Defendant in Court - Subpoena returned and filed -
 This cause is continued until 1. o.c. P.M. Sept. 5th 1887 -
 Special Subpoena for the People and witness
 " 1. o.c. P. M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for his examination
 Charles Graham - John Dunn and appointed short-hand reporter
 for this cause - witness Dunn and examined for the prosecution
 as follows and: Alice M. Dugay - G. B. Affling - Arthur Berry
 J. C. Mifford - Alex Dean - John Lincoln - G. E. Gibbet and Vincent
 Mirega - Mrs. e. Estlin Cloud -
 Defendant asked the Court to continue this examination
 until Sept. 7th at 11. o.c. A.M. upon the ground, that the
 witnesses for the defense are at this time absent - and cannot
 be found Dunn - Motion granted -
 Now cause the defendant with ^{his} attorney D. H. Green - accordingly
 to withdraw his motion and to submit his cause leaving
 the examination of any witness -
 The Court having fully considered this matter and it
 appearing to me that the officer in the complaint on file has
 his been committed and that there is sufficient cause
 to believe the above named Thomas Star guilty - therefor I
 order that he be held to answer to the same and committed
 to the Sheriff of the County of Brown and that he be
 admitted to bail in the sum of \$2000 - and is committed to
 the Sheriff of the County of Brown until he give such bail

D. H. Hill Jr.
The Office Magistrate -

In the Justices Court of 30 Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>W. H. Lockwood</u> vs. <u>C. P. Penfro</u>	Plaintiff Defendant
---	----------------------------

Action Claim and delivery -

Demand \$100⁰⁰ - Bangs for sum

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. V. Grady

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 23. Complaint on Claim and delivery filed - Summary
 Special and general cause C. P. Walter - Undertaking and
 affidavit on Claim and delivery - Made against C. P. Walter
 24. Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint - on the hearing
 25. His cause is set down for trial at 11. o'clock A.M. August 29th. 1887 -
 " Defendant demands trial by jury - venue issued to Civ Court -
 Issues Subpoena for the Plaintiff -
 26. His cause by one of the Plaintiff's is being dismissed - Plaintiff
 paying costs of suit -

S. H. Hill
 Justice of the Peace

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
County of *Yerba*, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
John Doe - Defendant

Action *Petit Larceny*
Demand \$.....
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887,

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. ^{Rev'd} 23. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Capt. Com. C. H. Green

In the Justices Court of B: Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> vs. <u>Daniel Holden</u>	Plaintiff Defendant
--	----------------------------

Action Bishtoring the Deaver

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1889.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 25. Complain filed Warrant issued by Court. C. P. Walton
Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads guilty
and names the legal time for delivery -
Defendant fine and Paid $\$5.00$. Paid Court $\$2.50$.
S. H. Hill J.S.

Sum

Aug 27. Whereby reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and
recover of and from the defendant - the possession of one
team horse one single Harness and one top buggy - the
property described in said Complaint or for the sum
of $\$100$ - the value thereof - in case a delivery cannot be had
and for the sum of $\$12.50$ - damages for the use and detention
of said property and for the further sum of $\$8$ - Costs
necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action - in the
Court of the United States -
Judgment for the value of the property in case a delivery
cannot be had - 100.00
Judgment for the use and detention of said property - 12.50
" " Justice fees - 6.00
" " Constable " 2.00
Total amount of Judgment - $\$120.50$
S. H. Hill. J.S.

Aug. 31. Here comes the defendant by his attorney J. S. Bloddy - and files
his motion and the affidavit of J. S. Bloddy - asking the Court
to set aside the default in this action -

Motion set down for argument at 11 o'clock A.M. Sept 4th 1889

1. By consent of parties motion taken up and argued
and submitted - The Court took the matter under advisement.

2. By order of Plaintiff this cause is hereby dismissed - J. S. for Paul -
S. H. Hill J.S.

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,County of Madera, State of California.

Andres Burdugo by his Guardian
ad-litem Frank Dernadell Plaintiff
vs.
J. A. Barker
Defendant

Action Claim and delivery of
Personal property
Demand \$100^a - Damage \$60^a
H. S. Tracy
Attorney for Plaintiff
J. G. Brady
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 25. Complaint on Claim and delivery of personal property filed. Now comes the Plaintiff who is an infant of age and upon his application the Court appends Frank Dernadell his guardian ad-litem for all the purposes of this action -
 Summons issued and given Plaintiff attorney -
 26. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein and 25th day of Aug 1887. and filed -
 31. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired, the default of the said defendant in the premises is, hereby duly entered according to law. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Then at this on application of H. S. Tracy attorney for said Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the terms of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein - Andres Burdugo and Frank Dernadell sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff as to the value of the property sued on - and as to the damages for the use and detention of said property after which the cause was submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict -

The Court hearing fully considered this matter finds that the Plaintiff is the owner and entitled to the possession of the property described in the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and that the value thereof is \$100^a and the further sum of \$22⁵⁰ - damages for the use and detention of said property -

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
 vs.
Percy Meade Defendant

Action Assault - with a deadly weapon

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 26. Complaint filed Warrant issued against Capt. Capt. J.B. Morgan
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his right in the premises - Defendant committed
 to the custody of the Sheriff to await his examination
 Defendant filed his Bond in the sum of One Thousand
 Dollars - for his appearance for Examination Bond affirmed & filed

" His cause by Court of parties is set down for trial at 7 A.M. 1st M^o 1887
 Special Subpoena - for the People -

" 7. A.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and day he is ready for trial
 E.W. Kirk appointed Sheriff - came reporter for this cause and
 the same intimated - the Hon. T. H. Gray & the Day examined
 examined as witness for the People Francisco Elena - Percy
 Meade H.H. Henry C.P. Walker R.A. Keyes - Alex Mullay & John Herby
 Brown were examined as witness for the defendant - defense closed
 Cause submitted - the cause left the same undetermined -

" 1. O.C. 1. M. The Court after hearing the proof and being fully
 considered the same and it appearing to me that there
 is not sufficient cause to believe the defendant Percy Meade
 guilty of a public offense as alleged in the complaint on file
 herein I order him to be discharged -

J. H. Hill J.T.

In the Justices Court of

3.

Township,

County of Siskiu, State of California.

J.W. Burr Plaintiff
vs.
C.H. Haun Defendant

Action Account
Demand \$15⁹⁵/₁₀₀
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 16. Complaint filed & Summons issued against Capt. J.B. Morgan
 affidavit for attachment made & filed understanding on attachment
 appeal and filed Bill of attachment issued against Capt. J.B. Morgan -
 Dft. B. Summons returned showing personal Service on the Respondent
 to go to with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 2d
 day of September 1887, and file this day -
 Bill of attachment presented returned and filed -
 12. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint on file here and the time allowed by law
 for answering having passed the defendant of the said defendant
 in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law -
 "In this action the defendant having been regularly served with
 process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's
 Complaint here and the time allowed by law for
 answering having passed and the defendant of the said defendant
 in the premises having been duly entered according to law -
 Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered
 that judgment be entered here against the said
 defendant in accordance with the terms of said Plaintiff's
 Complaint on file here -
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the terms aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjnedyed that the Plaintiff do have
 and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$100.
 and 95cts and the sum of \$13¹⁰. Costs necessary inc-
 luded in the present value of the costs in lawful money per h. 8.
 Judgment for the Plaintiff - 15:95 -
 " " Justice per - 8.50
 " " Courtly " 4.66
 " Total amount of fees now - \$29.05
 S.H. Hill.
 Execution issued and given Capt. J.B. Morgan -
 " 13th Executive returned fully satisfied - D. H. Hill

In the Justices Court of (3.) Township,
 County of Marysville, State of California.

		Action Account -
<u>John O'Haren</u>	Plaintiff	Demand \$3.00 per
vs.		Attorney for Plaintiff
<u>J. B. Nickle</u>	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 27. Plaintiff filed Summons upon & against Court - C. A. Hall -
 " Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant -
 together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the 27th
 day of August 1887 - and filed -

29. Then came the defendant in proper person and for answer
 denies each and every material allegation in the
 Plaintiff's Complaint - Contained -

30. His cause is set down for trial at 5. o.c. P.M. Aug 31st 1887 -

31. I issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff and your Plaintiff -
 " 5. o.c. P.M. Parties in cause and day they are ready for trial -
 John O'Haren and Isaac O'Haren sworn and examined
 as witness for the plaintiff - Plaintiff Test -
 J. B. Nickle sworn and examined as a witness for the defendant
 Defendant Test - Cause submitted. The Court - Took
 The same under advisement -

Sept. 1st 10. o.c. A.M. The Court having fully considered the matter -
 Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered
 and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from
 the defendant a judgment - for the sum of Three dollars the amount
 due on - and the sum of Eleven and 10/100 Cents - necessary
 expended in the prosecution of this action in lawful money
 of the United States -

" Judgment for the Principal sum for 3.00
 " Justices fees - Service - 5.70
 " Constable Service - 3.20
 " Witness Isaac O'Haren - one day and 1. Will 220
 " Total amount of judgment - \$14. 10
 " S. H. Hill - J. M.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>James O'Brien & Henry Weston</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Burglary</u>
	Defendant	Demand \$.....
		Attorney..... for Plaintiff Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 27, Complaint filed Warrant issued again Capt. Carl J. Morgan
 Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises Defendants plead guilty -
 and within the legal time for sentence -
 Defendants allowed at once to leave the town -

S. H. Hill Jr.

No. 341

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Grand Jury</u> -
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>John Doe-</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

Anx. ^{Recd.} 27. Complaint filed warrant issued against Court C. P. Hallin.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

Action Malicefully Injury -

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS.

DATE. 1887

Aug. 27. Complaint filed Hessene issued & given Const. C. H. Hall -
 Sept. 1st Defendants - J. M. Dickman & S. D. Collins in Court and informed of the
 charge against them and of their right before the law -
 Defendants plead Not guilty - as charged - Defendants held
 for trial on their own recognizance -

2. Defendants - M. P. Snell - and O. O. Lang - in Court and
 informed of the charge against them and of their rights -
 in the premises - Defendants plead Not guilty - and held
 for trial on their own recognizance -

This cause set for trial at 11. A.C. 8. M. September 18th 1887 -
 I issue Subpoena for the People and defendant out -

" 10. This cause is continued until Sept 14th 1887 at 11. A.C. 8. M - on
 motion of the District Attorney -

14. 11. A.C. 8. M. Cause continued until 1. P.M. this day -

" 1. P.M. Defendant in Court and by consent of the defendant -
 this cause is continued until 11. A.C. 8. M. Sept. 21st 1887 -

15. I issue Subpoena for the People & given Const -

21. 11. A.C. 8. M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready
 for trial - and main trial by jury -

Witnesses - Snow and examined - Cause submitted -

The court after hearing fully considered the same and
 that the defendants be and they are hereby discharged
 upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to sustain

E. H. Hale J. P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Mesa, State of California.

<u>Sam Waldenay</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Banay</u> -
vs.		Demand \$100 <u>cc</u> .
<u>R.B. Woodward</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	<u>P. B. Gray</u> Attorney for Plaintiff <u>Wm. R. B. Gray</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 27. Plaintiff files Summons issue & give Court: C.P. Hall Two
 29. Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 29th
 day of August 1887, and filed this day -
 31. Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint and him-
 self - 1st. Defendant files his offer to allow judgment -

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Marin, State of California.

The People of California vs. Sophie Munro and Jane Doe - alias Alice Stanley - Plaintiff Defendant.	Action Petition Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant
--	--

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 28. Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to policeman H. H. Harris

29th Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Defendants - pleaded not guilty -
 Defendants has on deposit in Court the sum of \$600: for their
 appearance for trial - Case set down for trial at M.C.C.A. on
 September 5th 1887 -

31. Now comes the party injured before the Court and before
 the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received
 satisfaction for the injury done him - and on payment
 of the costs incurred in this action - I hereby order all
 proceeding to be stayed upon the surety, and
 the Defendants to be discharged therefrom -

S. H. Hill J. P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Battery</u>
<u>P. Le Blance</u>	<u>vs.</u>	Demand \$.....
	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney for Defendant.....

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 29. Complaint filed Warrant issued from Court - C. P. Wallin.
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleaded not guilty
 Defendant held for trial on his own recognizance

Sept. 30. 10. o'clock A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. Wrote down
 and examined - Cause submitted - The Court after
 having fully considered the same - ordered that the
 defendant be discharged upon the ground that
 the evidence is insufficient - to Convict

S. H. Hill J.S.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Mesa, State of California.

<u>C. H. Schleicher</u>	Plaintiff	Action Account-
<u>P. Le Blanc</u>	Defendant	Demand \$9.00
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 29. Complain filed Summons upon and given Const. C. P. Waller.
 This cause by order of Plaintiff's Court dismissed have been
 paid in full by the defendant -

J. H. Hill, J. O.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

The People of California Plaintiff
vs.
R. S. Bishop Defendant

Action Battery -

Demand \$.....

Attorney ... for Plaintiff

Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 29th Plaintiff filed Warrant issued against Court - C. P. Wallin.
 Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads not guilty -
 Defendant asks for his appearance for trial upon his own
 recognizance -

Sept. 4th The Court held trial in Court and ready for trial setting down
 and by unanimous consent submitted. The Court being fully
 satisfied the same set, that the defendant be discharged
 upon the express condition the ordinance is insufficiently enacted -

Defn. 6. This cause is set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Oct. 1st 1887 -
 Defendant demands trial by jury
 venire issued and given Constable - Subpoena issued
 for the People and the defendant -

7. 1. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial ready and
 subpoena issued and filed - Juries drawn to answer questions
 as to qualification after which the following Juries were drawn
 to try the cause to wit: D. S. Reed, O. M. Thompson, J. H. Col. C. Conner
 W. A. Carby, M. J. Lindup, J. A. Cole, And Bullock, J. B. Clark, John Mandell
 H. A. G. Smith, T. S. Brown - J. B. Scott drawn and examined as witness
 for the People人民 witness drawn - Mrs. E. Hughes and R. S. Bishop drawn
 and examined as witness for the defendant - Defense closed
 Cause argued and submitted to the jury for their deliberation
 and resolved - The jury after about 4 hours deliberation - were
 returned into the Court and stated to the Court - that they could
 not agree as to a verdict - The Court dismissed said Jury

10. This cause is set down for trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Oct. 12th 1887 -
 Special Subpoena and venire -

In the Justices Court of B² Township,County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Assault - with intent to Robber</u>
<u>Hattie Wood</u>	vs.	Misdemeanor
	Defendant	Demand \$.....
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 29th Complaint filed Warrant issued against Policeman McDaniel
 30th Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and
 of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty -
 and waives the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined and paid $\$25.00$ Paul Cook $\$2.50$

D. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of Brown Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>bargainy</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>Joe. Dilia - Ch. Walsh. Jim Hartnell</u>		Attorney ... for Plaintiff
<u>Bust Shorthill & Peter Johnson</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 31. Complainant filed Warrant - issued and given to Mr. G. S. Morgan
 " Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them.
 and of their rights before the law. Defendants - plead guilty -
 and name the legal time for sentence
 Defendants - allowed to leave the time -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of Township,

County of Guern, State of California.

(3.)

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff
vs.	
<u>William Blant</u>	
Defendant	

Action Grand Jury

Demand \$

R. B. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff
C. C. Mairne
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 30th Complain filed Warrant issued by Capt. Court: C. W. Marin.
 Sept. 26th Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant in default
 of Bond in the sum of \$500. is committed to the Sheriff
 of the County of Guern to await his examination -
 Defendant filed the required bond - Bond appears and filed -
 This Cause is by Court set for trial at 10. A. M. Oct 4th 1887,
 Opposite Supreme for People and defendant - Hon. Capt. Marin
 Oct. 4. 10. A. M. Defendant in Court and ready for Examination -
 J. B. Mills - Run over and examined by the人民检控官 - Prosecutor -
 Clerk for the人民 - Geo. Hildreth. Frank Russell -
 William Blant - John Wofford - were and examined
 for the defendant - before Clerk direct -
 Cause continued indefinitely by Court - of Defendant -
 Defendant - bondment - came into Court and surrendered
 the defendant to the Court - during h^t with draw as Bond -
 men for the defendant - Defendant - B gave a sum
 Bond which was appear and filed -
 15. On motion of the检控官 after this Cause is being
 dismissed upon the ground that the evidence is weak
 is insufficient to convict - being rage and uncertain

J. H. Bell Jr.

In the Justices Court of 3.
 County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>Geo. Hamilton -</u>	
	<u>Defendant</u>

Action Grand Jury
 Demand \$
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. ^{Rept.} 30th Complainant filed Warrant - issued & given Sheriff C. W. Nease
 Sept. 26. Suspectant - in Court - and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Suspectant - gave bond in the
 sum of \$500 - for his appearance for Examination - Bond appeal
 and filed - His case by court - is set for trial at 11.00 A.M.
 Oct. 4th 1887 Suspectant - called before Court - Name -
 Oct. 4. 11.00 A.M. Suspectant - in Court - and ready for his Examination
 Suspectant - Examined - and on Motion of the District
 Attorney - dis charged

J. H. Hale J.A.

In the Justices Court of S. Township,
 County of Mono, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>John Robt.</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>bargain of</u>
	Defendant	Demand \$
		Attorney <u>for Plaintiff</u>
	Attorney <u>for Defendant</u>	

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 30. Complaint filed warrant issued against Policeman McDowell
R.P. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleads Not guilty -
 Defendant committed to the Sheriff to await his examination -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	Action <u>Enrancy</u>
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$
<u>Amelia Heath -</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
	<u>Defendant</u>	Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 30th Complainant filed状
 vs.訴
 returnable before the superior court of Madera County.
 J. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<i>The People of California</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Joe Brothers -</i> <i>Defendant</i>	Action	<u>Indecent Exposure</u>
	Demand \$	
		Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant	

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 30. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Dist. Court. G. S. Wallin.
 31. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises - Defendant pleads guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid
 it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you
 Joe Brothers be and are hereby fined in the
 sum of \$10.00 and in default of the payment of said
 fine shall you be and you are hereby committed to the
 Sheriff of Yerba County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in
 the County jail of said County in the proportion of one
 day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine.

J. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of (3.) Township,
 County of Madera, State of California.

<u>P. R. Thomas -</u>	Plaintiff
<u>G. R. G. Glenn -</u> ^{vs.}	Defendant

Action Account

Demand \$299. $\frac{7}{8}$ ts

H. S. Tracy
Attorney for Plaintiff
Bishop & Bishop
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

1st. Plaintiff filed Summons issued by Court - C. P. Wallin -
 2nd. Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on 8th day
 of September 1887 and filed -
 3rd. Defendant filed his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint - on file herein -
 4. 11. O.C. A.M. Summons argued submitted and overruled
 Defendants Receipt -
 . There comes the defendant by his attorney Bishop & Bishop
 and for answer denies each and every allegation
 in the Plaintiff Complaint - Complaint -

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
County of *Madera*, State of California.

J. B. Gray Plaintiff
vs.
J. B. Yorke Defendant

Action Account -
Demand \$100.00
S. W. Green
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

In the Justices Court of (B.)
 County of Yerba, State of California.
 Township,

<u>F. W. Gray -</u>	Plaintiff
<u>vs.</u>	
<u>Washington Mann -</u>	
	Defendant

Action account for Rent -

Demand \$60.00 per -

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Supr. 2. Complainant filed Summons issued by the Court C. P. Walton.
 " Affidavit for attachment made & filed under taking an attachment
 signed & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court Walton -
 " Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant
 together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 3rd day of
 December 1887 and filed this day -
 " Writ of attachment received returned and filed -
 Paid in full - Att. fees \$3.

In the Justices Court of

County of Sacramento, State of California.

B. Township,

The People of California
vs.
Mary Clark & Beck Orbum.

Defendants.

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 13. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Court C. P. Wallin.
 5. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights before the law Defendant Mary Clark
 plead guilty - and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant Beck Orbum plead not guilty - Cause
 examined - Defendant discharged upon the ground that
 the evidence adduced is insufficient to convict -

S. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Yerba, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	
vs.	Defendant	
<u>H. S. G. Bushnell</u>		

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

4th. Complainant filed warrant before Justice Court - C. P. Walton
 5th. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him
 and of his rights in the premises. Defendant pleaded guilty
 and named the legal time for sentence -
 Defendant fined one dollar \$1.00 - Paid Court \$2.50

J. H. Hill Jr.

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Grand Larceny</u> -
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>James H. Hodgen</u>	Defendant	Attorney..... for Plaintiff.....
		Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 15th Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. C. P. Walton -

No. 361.

In the Justices Court of *B.* Township,
 County of *S.*, State of California.

The People of California

vs.

John Doe -

Plaintiff

Defendant

Action *Civiu agaist the Public peace*

Demand \$

Attorney ... for Plaintiff

Attorney ... for Defendant

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 5th Complain filed Warrant issued by Court C. P. Wallen -

In the Justices Court of 3. Township,
 County of Burn, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <small>vs.</small> <u>Frank Adams, Jacob Ramotop</u> <u>Henry Smith & Andrew Matthew</u> <small>Defendant</small>	Action <u>Ragancey</u>
	Demand \$.....

	Attorney..... for Plaintiff
	Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1867

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 6. Complaint filed warrant issued & given Sept. Court. J. B. Morgan
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty
 and waive the legal time for sentence -
 Defendants allowed to have the time -

S. H. Hill J. S.

In the Justices Court of (3.) Township,
 County of Yuma, State of California.

<i>J. W. Gould</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>Anton Garephy -</i>	<i>Plaintiff</i> <i>Defendant</i>	<i>Action Damages</i> <i>Demand \$299.00</i>	<i>H. Shney</i> <i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i> <i>Gupper & Gupper</i> <i>Attorney for Defendant</i>
--	--	---	---

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept:	6 th . Complaint filed - Summons issued to Clerk C. P. Hallin. " 14. Summary return showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint can file him on 18 th day of September 1887 and filed - 21 st . Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Gupper and Gupper and for defendant denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff Complaint - Granted -
Oct.	21 st . Summons returned and signed Defendant - Gupper - " now comes the Plaintiff by his attorney Gupper & Gupper and for Plaintiff denies each and every material allegation in the Complaint of Plaintiff Antoline and on file him -

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Marysville, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>Pat Bolone</u>	Plaintiff	{ 	Action <u>Forcibly</u>
	Defendant		Demand \$.....
			Attorney..... for Plaintiff

DATE. 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 7th Complainant and affiant of J. B. Morgan - filed warrant
 issued and given Const. C. P. Wallin - returned before
 Hon. J. B. Campbell - Supreme Court - or in case of
 his absence or inability to act before Mr. H. Harris -
 Supreme Court - of Yuba County -

S. H. Bell J. P.

1887

In the Justices Court of B. Township,County of Mary, State of California.The People of California
Plaintiffvs.
Charles Furley

Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon

Demand \$

H. S. Gipper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 7. Complaint filed Warrant issued by Court - W. H. Gipper - defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law - Defendant held to answer in a Bond of \$500. for his appearance for Examination - Bond affixed and filed -

1888 Jan. 4. This Court sat for Examination at 10. o.c. A.M. January 9th 1888 - Special Subpoena for the People and defendant -

5. Special Subpoena for the People and defendant -

9. 11. o.c. A.M. Defendant in Court - Court by Consent Continues until February 4th 1888 - at 10. o.c. A.M. -

17. Special Subpoena for the People & Mr. J. S. Morgan -

4. 10. o.c. A.M. Court Continues until 1. o.c. P.M. -

Special Subpoena for the People -

11. 10. o.c. A.M. Defendant in Court - Court Continues until 1. o.c. P.M. Feb 6th 1888 -

16. 1. o.c. P.M. Defendant in Court - and says he is ready for trial - At. Ding and C. P. Wallin sworn and examined as witness for the People - Peter Chin - Lee - Peter made W. H. Gipper and Chas Furley examine and sworn as witness for the defendant - Special Subpoena -

Court agreed and submitted - The Court took the same under advisement until 1. o.c. P.M. Feb 7th 1888 -

7. 1. o.c. P.M. Defendant in Court - this being the time fixed for the finding of the Court - It appearing to me that the offense of an assault with a deadly weapon upon the person of Ah Ding a Chinese boy has been committed and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named defendant Charles Furley guilty thereof & order that he be held to answer the same - and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mary - and that he be admitted to bail with sum of One Hundred (\$500) Dollars - and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Mary until he give due bail -

Baldu this February 7th 1888 -

S. H. Hill J.P.

In the Justices Court of B. Township,
 County of Marysville, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>	Plaintiff	Action <u>Battery</u> -
<u>vs.</u>		Demand \$.....
<u>James Jackson & Amelia Heath</u>	Defendant	Attorney..... for Plaintiff..... Attorney..... for Defendant.....

DATE. 1887

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 8th. Complainant filed Warrant issued by Court C. P. Walker -
 Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them
 and of their rights in the premises - Defendants - plead not guilty -
 Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Sept 13th 1887 -
 Special Indictment for the People -
 Defendants - gave bond in the sum of \$50⁰⁰ each for their
 appearance for trial aggregating the sum of \$100⁰⁰ - Bond
 approved and filed -

11. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and say they are ready for trial
 witness Drum and Grannis pro and Con and cause
 submitted - The Court after hearing fully considers the same
 orders that the defendants be discharged upon the ground
 that the evidence is insufficient to sustain -

S. H. Hill J.T.

In the Justices Court of B^o Township,
 County of , State of California.

<i>The People of California</i> <i>vs.</i> <i>H. M. Alexander</i>	<i>Plaintiff</i> <i>Defendant</i>
---	--

Action	<i>Finance</i>
Demand \$	
	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1887.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 9th Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const C. R. Wallin

In the Justices Court of (3) Township,
County of Sacramento, State of California.

<u>The People of California</u> <i>vs.</i> <u>H. M. Alexander</u>	{	Action <u>Zurawel</u>
Plaintiff Defendant		Demand \$.....
		Attorney..... for Plaintiff
		Attorney..... for Defendant

DATE. 1687

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept. 9. Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Prosecuting witness -
M.W.

